this limited greatness. Cassius found in himself, not in his stars, the fault that limited him. But of Hendrik Verwoerd the opposite was true. He could have been great under different stars, but he was born into a society whose definition of greatness is not accepted anywhere else, except in those societies and those minds dedicated to the same ideals of White security, White survival, and, inescapably, White supremacy, by whatever grand name they may be called.

(With acknowledgements to "The Daily News" and its associated newspapers.)

Dr. EDWARD ROUX SCHOLARSHIP

THE LATE PROFESSOR EDDIE ROUX was an active member of the Liberal Party for many years. At the same time he served as Chairman of the Rationalist Association of South Africa. He was active in other spheres as well, and was a popular public speaker. His academic achievements in the field of botany are well known and at least one of his books on this subject is a standard university textbook. His political history, banned in South Africa, was entitled "Time Longer than Rope — the struggle of the black man for freedom in South Africa".

Professor Roux was listed as a Communist and as a result had to resign from the Liberal Party in the early '60's. At the end of 1964 he was banned, and prohibited from teaching in or entering a university. This edict of the Minister of Justice, Mr. Vorster, forced Eddie Roux to relinquish his position as Professor of Botany at the University of the Witwatersrand. He retired to his home in Melville, Johannesburg, where he died a year later.

A scholarship has been set up to honour Dr. Roux's service to his university and his country. Administered by the Students' Representative Council of the University of the Witwatersrand, it is called the "Dr. Edward R. Roux Scholarship in Bio-Ecology". Contributions are requested from Liberal Party members and the general public.

This is a constructive way to remember a man who contributed a great deal to his coun-

try and made many sacrifices for his beliefs. Please make out cheques and postal orders to the "Dr. E. R. Roux Scholarship" and address all contributions to:—

The Dr. Roux Scholarship Committee, Students' Representative Council, University of the Witwatersrand, Milner Park, Johannesburg.

THAT BILL AGAIN

(some disrespectful comments by a member)

EXIT THE IMPROPER INTERFERERS . . . ENTER THE PROPER INTERFERERS

TIDINESS is one virtue we have learnt to expect in those who, like our rulers, are authoritarian-minded. How they love to cross the "t's" and dot the "i's"! We Liberals, who are improper interferers par excellence, were perhaps less astonished than others at the Prohibition of Improper Interference Bill.

We have come to recognise and expect the psychopathic logic this Bill represents. In its high-sounding moments it postulates a South Africa which does not exist; a South Africa of four distinct population groups merrily developing along their own lines, without let or hindrance to one another. How justified to frustrate those who would upset this chain of order, peace and tidiness!

Under this froth of words, however, we see this Bill for what it really is — not a chain of orderly, peaceful coexistence, but a shackle to contain those who question the political controllers of South Africa.

Liberals refused

Many observers believed that the Government would deal with its radical opponents less directly. The bannings and propaganda onslaughts on the Liberal Party had set it reeling, and, so these observers reasoned, a knock-out blow was unnecessary: Liberals would limp from the encounter. These observers misjudged the situation because their analysis was too sophisticated.

This interference Bill is aimed as a knock-out partly because the Liberals have refused to leave the field and partly because the Govern-