THE STRUCTURES OF KORA

The contrastive sounds (phonemes) of any given language are combined to form the small units of meaning (morphemes) that are its building blocks, where some of these, such as nouns and verbs, are lexical, and others, such as markers of number, or tense and aspect, are grammatical. The morphology of Kora and the ways in which its morphemes are used in turn to compose phrases, full length clauses and longer stretches of connected discourse (or in other words, the syntax of the language) will be the focus of this chapter.

The intention is to provide a short reference grammar for Kora, mainly to facilitate the study of the heritage texts in the original language, and chiefly with a non-specialist (though dedicated) reader in mind. For this reason, what the chapter offers is a primary description of the language, rather than a secondary linguistic modelling. (This is of course by no means to say that the description is ‘atheoretical’. It will quickly become apparent that there are several aspects of the language that are not easily explained, even in the most basic descriptive terms, without some degree of linguistic analysis.) While we have tried as far as possible to avoid terminology that is associated with any specific linguistic framework or else has become outdated jargon, it would have been difficult to proceed without drawing on at least some of the well-accepted and relatively transparent concepts that have come to form the received core of general linguistic description. The meanings of any mildly technical terms introduced in the course of the chapter will, it is hoped, become clear from the context.

It can be difficult to find a balance between trying on one hand to force a language into a pre-existing typological box that is plainly inappropriate – and extravagantly inventing new and exotic typological categories on the other. We have tried our best to find this medium, but one consequence of this is that some of our assessments may differ in small respects from those previously offered by others. In as far as they may be a spur to further debate and analysis, we hope our minor departures will be seen as positive.

In an ideal world, linguists work closely with speakers, and in many cases, are speakers themselves of the language under study. This makes it possible to elicit intuitions about the acceptability and appropriateness of certain formulations as opposed to others, where these speaker judgments can help in turn to confirm or disconfirm the validity of a particular analysis. In the case of Kora, of course, we are no longer able to carry out systematic and sustained investigations of this kind,
although the two consultants who worked with us certainly provided a number of illuminating phrases and sentences. The greater part of our data comes from the corpus of narratives and illustrative sentences that were written down by various authors over a period of about 60 years from 1879 to the end of the 1930s. We are fortunate also to have a few previous grammatical sketches, beginning with the brief notes prepared by Carl Wuras that were incorporated by John Appleyard into the introductory section of the latter’s Xhosa grammar, and followed by the grammatical sketches provided by Carl Meinhof and Louis Maingard. We are equally fortunate that Andries Bitterbos was an astute commentator on his own language, and provided Jan Engelbrecht with usefully revealing literal translations of many of the texts, including some that Bitterbos had written down himself. Benjamin Kats, who likewise wrote down several texts, similarly worked closely with Meinhof. Lastly, Maingard tells us that the members of the Bloemhof Korana community worked collaboratively to dictate various narratives to him, and subsequently went through his transcriptions with him and helped to confirm their accuracy.

In addition to all this, there is much that we can learn from close relatives of Kora, such as South African Nama and the dialects of Namibian Khoekhoe. There is a long tradition of Nama grammatical description, with the 19th century studies of Johann Wallmann, Henry Tindall and Theophilus Hahn constituting highlights. In the early part of the 20th century, Meinhof contributed a grammar of Nama that was partly pedagogical and partly a reference grammar. A manuscript grammar circulated by Heinrich Vedder in 1909 was, as Wilfrid Haacke has explained, revised by Johannes Olpp in 1917, while the revised version was subsequently incorporated into Friederich Rust’s Nama grammar of 1965. (Another study was contributed by Otto Dempwolff in the 1930s.) The first modern description of a Khoekhoe language, based loosely on the premises of an early version of generative syntax, came from Roy Hagman in the 1970s, while more recent studies by Wilfrid Haacke are couched in terminology that often implies a broadly similar framework. (A study of Nama by Gerhard Böhm was published in 1985, but appears, on the basis of its spelling conventions, to be an older work.)

Lastly, it is occasionally helpful to consider aspects of the morphology and syntax of the related Kalahari varieties of KHOE. The structures of Khwe in particular have been extensively described by Christa Kilian-Hatz.

One thing that the older studies reveal is the perennially recurring nature of certain problems of analysis, with respect not only to Kora but to the Khoekhoe varieties in general. These thorny and contested aspects include: the function of the ke particle (often written ‘tje’, ‘kie’ or ‘kye’), which seems to occur after a sentence topic (or, as some have alternatively suggested, is perhaps used as a marker of declarative sentences); the function of a postnominal –a, which some have proposed to be the marker of an accusative case; and the function and distribution of two apparently copular predicators, i and a, which some describe as aspect markers. As we will see throughout the sections that follow, it is by no means an easy task to pin down the exact functions of these various small particles, and it is hoped that future
researchers may be sufficiently intrigued to conduct further investigations into some of the questions highlighted in the chapter.

Our account will begin with a description of the constituent morphemes that make up each of the three main sub-clausal structures of the basic sentence, namely:

- The **noun phrase**, which typically expresses an argument of the predicate, as in ‘the children’.
- The **adpositional phrase**, which typically expresses additional arguments, as in ‘behind the rocks’.
- The **verb phrase**, which contains the predicating expression (often but not always a verb), and any dependent arguments, as in ‘saw an injured wildcat’.

The term ‘argument’ used above is borrowed from the field of formal logic, where a proposition can be formulated in abstract terms as \([(\text{PREDICATE})\ x, \ y]\), with \(x\) and \(y\) standing for two arguments, as in \([(\text{RESCUE})\ \text{the man, the wildcat}]\). The term ‘adpositional’ is a more general one than ‘prepositional’. It is used here because, as will be seen later, Kora – like all KHoe languages – makes use of postpositions, which are adpositions placed after the noun, unlike prepositions, which are adpositions placed before a noun.

Later sections will look at the ways in which sentences (clauses) are formulated by combining such phrases, with attention to the expression of syntactic relations (such as subjects and objects), as well as the ways in which clausal and sub-clausal constituents may be combined with, or embedded within other constituents, or used as the complements of certain verbs. The syntactic description will incorporate some reference to aspects of sentence-level semantics, including the significance of semantic roles. Lastly, aspects of pragmatics will be reflected in the sections describing the use of morphology and re-ordering strategies in the formulation of sentences according to function (as in positive and negative declaratives, interrogatives, desideratives, speculatives or imperatives), as well as information structure (for example in terms of topic and focus).

In principle, the basic and most neutral form of the Kora sentence presents the **subject** first; then one or two dependent **objects**; and lastly the **predicating expression**, which – when it is a verb, and provided it has not been moved to the front of the sentence – is generally preceded by most markers of tense, aspect, or mood. Any extra expressions that may be introduced, for example to indicate an agent, a means, a place (locus), or a path (goal or source), may be placed either before or after the verb. (Where an additional argument is not strictly required by the verb, it is said to occur as an adjunct to the verb phrase.) The following sentence, which describes the year in which Isis of the Bloemhof Korana was born, illustrates the simplest case, where the clause has the arrangement [Subject Object Verb – Adjunct]:"
The expression of tense and aspect will be discussed later under the heading of the verb phrase, but it may be noted for the moment that the unusual placement of the tense marker *ke* in examples (a) and (b) seems to follow from the verb-like nature of *i*. This clausal connective behaves syntactically like a verb that has been re-ordered to the front of the sentence, which is a context where markers of tense and aspect come after the verb.

The next sentence is from the same account, and shows an instance where an adjunct (*ǃgarib ina* ‘in the river’) is expressed before both the object and the verbal predicating expression [Subject – Adjunct – Object Verb].

With this much said, it should be mentioned that the reality is often rather different, since, much like Nama, Kora has an exceptional degree of flexibility in terms of its sentence patterns. There are hardly any sentences, either in our own data or in the heritage texts, that reflect the supposedly basic pattern, and the examples given above are rare exceptions! Within any given stretch of extended discourse in Kora, a sentence may be found to begin with a verb, a non-subject noun phrase, or an adpositional phrase. As both Meinhof and Rust noted (and much as we would expect), re-ordering of this kind is ultimately pragmatic, and is typically associated with the foregrounding of different parts of the sentence. Subjects are often not expressed at all when they are obvious from the context, or else may be referenced only by a dependent pronoun. The examples used as illustrations throughout this chapter will frequently reflect alternative orders, as in the following instances, where the verbs are underlined and the subjects are highlighted in bold.

(c) (i) *dìto-a r ko sìseni*  

\[ \text{subject: } \text{I (male) Recent.Past} \]  

\[ \text{verb: } \text{finish} \]  

\[ \text{object: } \text{the work} \]  

‘I finished the work’
Although various phrases may be freely ordered in this way within the sentence, the phrases reflect internal ordering principles that are generally more regular and predictable (where even any exceptions have their own regularity). For this reason, Kora, like other Khoekhoe languages, is often described as consistently ‘head-final’. What this means is simply that, within each type of phrase, the head (or minimally required constituent) occurs at the end of that phrase – at least in the default case – while any additional elements, such as the determiners and adjectives that may qualify a noun, precede it.24

The rest of this chapter will describe the structures outlined above in more detail, under the following headings:

4.1 The noun phrase.
4.2 The adpositional phrase.
4.3 The verb phrase.
4.4 The Kora sentence, part I.
4.5 The Kora sentence, part II.
4.6 Miscellaneous.

4.1 The noun phrase25

The minimally required constituent of a noun phrase is a nominal expression, which is to say, either a noun or a pronoun. The nominal may be optionally preceded by a range of determiners, including demonstratives, quantifiers, or numbers, and modifying adjectives, where any of these may take on the function, in some contexts, of a nominal. The structure of the noun phrase (or NP) is summarised in Figure 4.1, where the example shows how each ‘slot’ might be filled:

```
$\text{Demonstrative} \{ \text{Quantifier} \} \text{Intensifier} \text{Adjective} \text{Nominal}$
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demonstrative</th>
<th>Quantifier</th>
<th>Intensifier</th>
<th>Adjective</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hē</td>
<td>xara</td>
<td>kaise</td>
<td>kai</td>
<td>khoeku</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hē xara kaise kai khoeku

‘these other very big men’

**FIGURE 4.1** The composition of the Kora noun phrase.
The section below will look at each of the constituents of the Kora noun phrase in turn.

4.1.1 Nominal expressions

4.1.1.1 Nouns

The nouns of Kora, like those of all languages belonging to the KHoe family, are divided into masculine and feminine genders,\(^{26}\) with the option of a common (neutral) gender.\(^{27}\) As is usual in languages of the Khoekhoe branch, these three grammatical genders are overtly indicated by means of noun suffixes.\(^{28}\) In the preceding chapters, a hyphen has usually been introduced to demarcate these suffixes, to make things clearer. From now on they will be written conjunctively, in the style preferred by the official writing conventions for Namibian Khoekhoe, and by Engelbrecht and Maingard for Kora.

The assignment of masculine and feminine genders to nouns in the Khoekhoe languages is typically based on natural gender in the case of most animate referents, but is otherwise arbitrary. The common suffixes may be used in cases where the gender is not known (for example when people are seen at a distance), or is irrelevant, or in cases where two or more nouns of different genders are involved. The singular and plural suffixes used to express the genders of the Kora nouns are set out in Table 4.1. (When it comes to looking up words in the Dictionary, the reader may find it helpful to bear in mind that these suffixes are disregarded for purposes of alphabetical ordering.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3rd person</th>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>-b</td>
<td>-ku-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>-s</td>
<td>-di (~de)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-n-a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figure reflects the habitual tendency on the part of Kora speakers, including our own two consultants, to add a final \(\text{–}_a\) to citation forms. This tendency was explained by members of the Links family as a Kora feature: Lucy Lloyd’s manuscript notes frequently show an alternative form \textit{without} the postnominal \(\text{–}_a\), where the expression is specifically indicated as being characteristic of the Griqua variety.\(^{29}\)

Where a masculine noun ends in a nasal segment such as \(m\) or \(n\), the singular suffix \(-b\) assimilates to it, as in the examples below.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{xammi} & \quad \text{‘lion’} \\
\text{ǀhommi} (\sim \text{ǀhomma}) & \quad \text{‘cloud, sky’} \\
\text{ǀxamma} & \quad \text{‘spoon’} \\
\text{ǁammi} & \quad \text{‘water’}
\end{align*}
\]
A certain degree of ambiguity arises in the case of the small set of masculine nouns ending in the alveolar nasal $n$, since it can be difficult to determine (in the absence of contextual clues) whether the intended suffix is the masculine singular variant $–ni$ or the common plural $–n$. This uncertainty is compounded in Kora because of the tendency to cite nouns by default with a postnominal $–a$, which further obscures the distinction, and which may also have been responsible for a phenomenon noted by both Wuras and Meinhof, involving the apparent use of the common plural in a singular sense. Wuras commented that there was no common singular form for $ǀ'ōb$ or $ǀ'ōs$ ‘child’, adding that ‘in some cases, if they cannot distinguish (the child being at a distance) they used the plural $ǀ'ona$’. (There is much variation in the representation of the vowel as long or short.) Wuras also gave arina for ‘dog’, in a singular sense. Meinhof similarly noted occasional uses of the common plural suffix $–n$ in association with singular nouns, including the word for ‘child’, and certain animals. In two of the stories (BK14 and BK17) written down by Benjamin Kats, even the diminutive form of the word is used with the suffix of the common plural, yet with a singular implication (as $ǀ'ō-da-na$ and $ǀ'ō-da-ni$). Our own consultants used $ǀ'ona$ with a singular implication on one or two occasions, almost as if this was once perhaps a separate word with the same meaning as $ǀ'ō'i$.

The examples below show the standard singular and plural forms of a few nouns in each of the genders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Masculine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gomab</td>
<td>‘ox’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>khoeb</td>
<td>‘man’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀ'ōb$</td>
<td>‘boy’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀnōab$</td>
<td>‘steer’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haib</td>
<td>‘stick’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀharub$</td>
<td>‘reed-mat’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀhoeb$</td>
<td>‘vessel’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀ'ōb$</td>
<td>‘edible wild bulb’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feminine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gomas</td>
<td>‘cow’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>khoes</td>
<td>‘woman’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀōs$</td>
<td>‘girl’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀnōas$</td>
<td>‘heifer’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kx’oms</td>
<td>‘house’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀuís$</td>
<td>‘pebble’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀ'abus$</td>
<td>‘gun’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ǀnuís$</td>
<td>‘trap, snare’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It will be noted that an apostrophe is used in the case of the common singular: this is simply a convention to show that the vowel of the suffix –i is distinct from any vowel that may occur at the end of the noun stem. (It is usually pronounced with a slight glottal stop onset, and does not permit liaison by means of a glide.) The convention for Namibian Khoekhoe is to use a hyphen in these cases.

In some cases where animals are involved, nouns have an intrinsic default gender, where the unmarked (which is to say, more basic or neutral) term may for some animals be masculine, but feminine for others. As both Meinhof\(^55\) and Maingard noted,\(^36\) there is no obvious correlation between the size of the animal and the gender. The following examples were given by Piet Links during a visit to the Natural History Museum in Cape Town. (The original spellings used by Lucy Lloyd are retained here, and show her habit of writing the masculine singular suffix –b as ‘–p’, because of the way it was regularly devoiced by the speaker. The letter ‘k’ after a click symbol in Lloyd’s notation is most often redundant, seeming to have indicated merely a plain click, while her doubling of certain letters in the middle of a word probably indicated a shortening of the preceding vowel.)

**Common**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Khoekhoe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘head of cattle’</td>
<td>goma’i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘person’</td>
<td>khoe’i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘child’</td>
<td>‘o’i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘calf’</td>
<td>nõa’i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Masculine**

- dop       | ‘zebra’ (daub) |
- gaup      | ‘wildebeest’ (gaob) |
- uip       | ‘bee’          |
- /keyap    | ‘jackal’       |
- /gīp      | ‘aardwolf’ (hyena species) |
- /hōap     | ‘wildcat’      |
- /xammap   | ‘hartebeest’   |
- /nākx‘op  | ‘bushpig’      |
- /gauīp    | ‘hunting dog’  |
- /ñoap     | ‘porcupine’    |
- #gōp      | ‘springhare’   |
- #goxum    | ‘anteater’     |
- #xoap     | ‘elephant’     |

**Feminine**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Khoekhoe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘gemsbok’</td>
<td>/kais</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘buffalo’ (jaob)</td>
<td>/kaus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘hare of the flats’</td>
<td>/gannas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘hyena’</td>
<td>/hukas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘quagga’ (lores ~ loeres)</td>
<td>/koires</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As far as some inanimate referents are concerned, where gender assignment is arbitrary, it is notable that Kora and Nama do not always assign nouns to the same gender. The following examples illustrate some of these contrary instances. (Our consultant Ouma Jacoba vacillated between masculine and feminine endings in the case of certain words, including the words for ‘sun’ and ‘nose’ shown below.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kora</th>
<th>Nama</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>soreb/s (Lloyd sores)</td>
<td>sores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǁxãs (Lloyd)</td>
<td>ǁkhâb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂuib/s (Lloyd ǂkuip)</td>
<td>ǂguis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are also a few sporadic cases where the use of the masculine plural –ku (sometimes spelled ‘–gu’ in early records) seems to be associated with a collective or ‘non-count’ implication. Examples include the following words given by Piet Links.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kogu</td>
<td>‘skin’ (khōku)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀhummgu</td>
<td>‘cloud, sky’ (ǀhomku)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lastly, much as in the BANTU languages, it is possible to reassign nouns to a different gender, with a resulting change in meaning, or sometimes with an affective implication such as disparagement. For example, whereas haib (with the masculine singular suffix) refers to a tall tree or long stick, hais (with the feminine singular suffix) refers to a small and rounded bush. The following examples were noted by Lloyd (first three pairs), and Meinhof.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tūp</td>
<td>‘black thunder cloud’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tūs</td>
<td>‘rain’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀkarep</td>
<td>‘mountain’ (such as Devil’s Peak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀkares</td>
<td>‘hill, low mountain’ (perhaps ‘ridge’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂgōp</td>
<td>‘pointed mountain’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂgōs</td>
<td>‘a small hill’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gōab</td>
<td>‘spear’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gōas</td>
<td>‘knife’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀ‘uib</td>
<td>‘boulder, rock’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀ‘uis</td>
<td>‘stone, pebble’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to singular and plural values for number, nouns in the Khoekhoe languages may also be grammatically marked as dual, where only two things or people are referred to. The full set of the 3rd person gender suffixes in Kora is set out in Table 4.2.
Table 4.2 The full set of noun suffixes that express the three genders of Kora in the singular, dual, and plural.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3rd person</th>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Dual</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>-b</td>
<td>-khara</td>
<td>-ku-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>-s</td>
<td>-sara</td>
<td>-di (~de)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-kha</td>
<td>-n-a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The form of the masculine dual suffix –khara is one of numerous respects in which Kora differs from Nama, where the equivalent morpheme is –kha. The members of the Links family who worked with Lucy Lloyd were clearly aware of various differences between Giri and Kora, and it emerges from Lloyd’s annotations that the form of the masculine dual in the Griqua variety was similar to that of Nama. (There are a number of variations in the spelling of the masculine and common suffixes, with ‘kara’ sometimes given for –khara, ‘kao’ for the 2nd person masculine plural, and ‘ka’ for the 3rd person common dual.) Although the use of the dual should in principle make it redundant to use the number term for ‘two’, it seems that speakers often combined them.38

Kora nouns may be extended by the diminutive suffix –da, which in actual use is sometimes expressed by the variant –ra. In Lucy Lloyd’s notes, diminutive forms with –da are specifically marked as Griqua, while those with –ra are apparently taken to be truer for Kora. This is an instance where both Kora and Giri differ from Nama and the Namibian Khoekhoe dialects, where the diminutive suffix is –ro. Examples of nouns extended by the Kora-Giri diminutive suffix sourced from Meinhof’s Glossary are shown below, and incidentally illustrate the contrary use of –da (rather than –ra) on the part of Benjamin Kats. (The hyphens are provided here to show the morpheme divisions, but would not ordinarily be used in writing the language.)

- !hari-da-s ‘small village’
- ǂxam-biri-da-i ‘small young goat’
- /’o-da-n ‘small child’ (with common plural suffix)
- /amo-ro-b (~ s) ‘star’

There are nevertheless a few cases where a Kora word has the Nama-like diminutive suffix –ro, as in the last example above, which was recorded by Meinhof. A few other cases where the –ro form is found most often involve the names of plants or animals, where it is sometimes represented with a raised or even fronted vowel, as –ru or –ri. It is not easy to say whether such words are archaic, or whether some inter-dialectal transfer has occurred. (Another morpheme frequently seen in the names of plants and animals is –be, of unknown significance.) Hagman noted the additional existence in Nama of an augmentative suffix –kara. We have not found any instances of its use in Kora.39
• Compounding, and other means of word formation

As in other Khoekhoe languages, nouns in Kora may be compounds, where the words in the combined forms can be other nouns, verbs, or adjectives. We will write such words here without a hyphen between them, following the convention used for Namibian Khoekhoe, where conjunctive (that is, ‘joined together’) spellings are prescribed. (In the Namibian orthography, hyphenation is avoided as far as possible, with the use of a hyphen generally only being advocated where vowels would otherwise cluster, or where a glottal stop precedes the vowel. ⁴⁰ For purposes of writing Kora we will use an apostrophe – rather than a hyphen – in cases where two adjacent vowels are not diphthongs. One exception to this principle involves the 3rd person common singular marker –i, which is written with an apostrophe when it occurs as an ordinary noun suffix, but will be written with a hyphen when it occurs as a dependent pronominal form, so as to show the nature of its attachment to the host.)

Examples of Kora compound nouns sourced mainly from Meinhof’s Glossary are shown below.

Noun plus noun (N+N)

|hoasǃanãb| ‘rainbow’ (Nama !hanab; !ganab = ‘mythical snake’)
|ǂ’āsǀaib| ‘reed-flute dance’
|sorebtabab| ‘sunshine’

Verb plus noun (V+N).

|hãǃxaib| ‘resting place, campsite’
ǁǃnǃxaib| ‘dwelling place’
|huniǀxamma| ‘stirring spoon’
|laigomab| ‘pack-ox’

Adjective plus noun (Adj.+N)

ǀkx’ākhaos| ‘digging stick’ (literally ‘sharp digger’)
ǀkx’abaǃxarib| ‘wine’ (lit. ‘red honey-beer’)
|karol’aub| ‘hard veld’ (> Karoo)

Some nouns are used with particular frequency in the formation of such compounds, including the root kx’ao- ‘one who is skilled at doing’, or ‘master of’, where the preceding element is usually a verb. Examples from Meinhof’s Glossary include the following:

kurukx’aob| ‘creator’ (kuru ‘create, make, construct’)
ǁǁxāǁxkx’aob| ‘teacher’ (ǁǁxāǁxā ‘teach’)
ǁǃamakx’aob| ‘trader’ (ǁǀa!’ama ‘trade, barter’)
ǂonakx’aob| ‘beggar’ (ǂona ‘beg’)
ǀkx’ākx’aob| ‘thief’ (ǀkx’ā ‘steal’)

Other nouns occasionally found in compounds include xūb ‘thing’ and haib ‘wood’, as illustrated below, where xūb (plural xūkua) is used in terms that refer indirectly (possibly for avoidance purposes) to valued possessions such as livestock and tools, while haib is used in the context of names for plants with specific properties (typically
medicinal). (The use of certain avoidance terms may have been gender-specific, and
may also have been confined to specific situations.)

- **guxukua** ‘kleinvee, small livestock’ (AB6)
- **lkx’uilnūguxub** ‘dunbeengoed, thin-legged small stock’ (AB3)
- **lnaxuku** for ‘intestines’ (lit. ‘inside things’) (BK9)
- **tanixukua** for ‘collecting bags, tools’ (lit. ‘carry things’) (BK14)
- **dūhaib** plant sp., *swartstorm* (‘blackstorm’) medicine (Ebt28)
- **bīhaib** plant sp., used to increase milk yield (Ebt28)
- **ǀxorahaib** wild garlic, named for smell (Ebt28)

Interestingly, examples of words based on *xūb* as well medicinal terms based on *haib*
are found in the speech of the elderly N|uu consultants. (There is a rich corpus of
material in the †Khomani San Hugh Brody archive, which includes film footage of
interviews with these speakers, who now use a Northern Cape variety of Afrikaans,
with some switching into Nama.) One of these speakers uses the expression *wolgoed*
(literally ‘wool things’) for ‘sheep’, and another refers to medicinal herbs as different
kinds of *hout*, as for example *maaghout*, where the Afrikaans expression literally
means ‘stomach wood’ but is used in the sense of ‘remedy for a stomach ailment’. It is probable that Afrikaans expressions of this last kind are loan-translations of
original Khoekhoe words built on *haib*. (This usage parallels the use of words such
as *muthi* or *sethlare*, both meaning ‘tree, wood’ but also ‘medicine’ in neighbouring
languages.)

Hagman notes a few words in Nama that participate productively in the
formation of compounds, citing *ǀgau* ‘manner’, *ǀnõa* ‘type of’, and *hãa* ‘plus other
things of the sort’ – but we have not identified instances in the Kora corpus where the
equivalents of these words are used. Hagman also mentions a suffix -*si*, which he
says can be used in Nama and Dama dialects to derive nouns from adjectives, where
the results are often abstract nouns similar to English nouns ending in ‘–ness’, and
which are apparently always assigned to the masculine gender, taking the suffix –*b*
in the singular. Examples from Namibian Khoekhoe include: *kaisib* ‘bigness’ and
*ǀam’osib* ‘endlessness’. Nouns reflecting this strategy are not frequently found in
Kora, but there may be a rare instance in *doroxasib* ‘membership (of the initiation
lodge, the *doro*), while another two cases may be reflected in !Orakhoesib ‘Korana
nationhood’ and !mũsasib !ũb ‘kingdom’. (Hagman notes the converse case as
well, where –*si* may in some cases be used with nouns to derive adjectives.)

• **Nominalisations (a preliminary note)**

There are other nouns in Kora, or at least noun-like expressions, of a much more
complex kind, where these have been derived from extended phrases or even whole
clauses. In some cases, these have given rise to stable, fully lexicalised complex
expressions, but at other times they seem to be dynamic projections of the syntax,
and may be ‘participial’ phrases or relative clauses. A few preliminary examples are
given below, where hyphens show the morpheme division, and where the abbreviation ‘3ms’ stands for 3rd person masculine singular. (The abbreviation Prog stands for the marker of progressive (imperfective) aspect.)

\[
\begin{align*}
dao-lũ-kx’aosa-b & \quad \text{‘traveller’ (Bkr1)} \\
[[\text{road-go}]-\text{master}]-3ms & \quad \text{(lit. ‘road-go man’)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
thā-lāu-|xoa-xū-b & \quad \text{‘flotation device’ (AB9)} \\
[[\text{swim-go.across-with}]-\text{thing}]-3ms & \quad \text{(lit. swim-cross-with thing’)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
|onā-na-lāu-kx’ai-he-!nao-b & \quad \text{‘solo-crossing log’ (AB9)} \\
[[\text{alone-Prog-go.across-on-Passive}]-\text{log}]-3ms & \quad \text{(lit. ‘alone-being-crossed-on log’)}
\end{align*}
\]

More detailed discussion of these complex nominalisations will be reserved for a later section.

• **Special terms for social categories of age, gender, and relationship**

There are a number of nouns in Kora that express distinct social categories, such as life stage and relationship. These terms may take the form of ordinary simple or compound nouns, but they occasionally have special formal properties, including the use of a personal possessive (such as *ti* ‘my’) in the case of kinship terms.

\[
\begin{align*}
kaikhoeb & \quad \text{‘senior man, elder’} \\
kx’aokhoeb & \quad \text{‘adult man’} \\
kx’aosab & \quad \text{‘adult man’} \\
kx’arob & \quad \text{‘young man’} \\
|ūb & \quad \text{‘father’} \\
ti īb & \quad \text{‘my father’} \\
|naosob & \quad \text{‘uncle’} \\
ti |naob & \quad \text{‘my uncle, grandfather’} \\
ti |nurib & \quad \text{‘my cousin (male), uncle’} \\
ti !’āb & \quad \text{‘brother, cousin’} \\
ti xai & \quad \text{‘my husband’} \\
ti |’uib & \quad \text{‘father in law’} \\
ti ōab & \quad \text{‘my son’} \\
ōaxais & \quad \text{‘young unmarried woman’} \\
taras & \quad \text{‘wife’} \\
tarakhoes & \quad \text{‘wife’} \\
|ūs & \quad \text{‘mother’} \\
ti īs & \quad \text{‘my mother’} \\
ti !’ās & \quad \text{‘my cousin (female)’} \\
ti |’uis & \quad \text{‘mother in law’} \\
ti ōas & \quad \text{‘my daughter’}
\end{align*}
\]
There were some differences, it seems, in the terms used by Griqua speakers. The alternative terms *abob* and *tatab* for ‘father’ in Giri were not as widely used by the Korana, although *abob* is certainly reflected in some of the old records for Cape Khoekhoe.

### 4.1.1.2 Pronouns

As in many other languages of the world, the pronouns of the Khoekhoe languages may be subdivided into free-standing (or independent) and dependent forms. The dependent forms, which are exact copies of the gender-indexing noun suffixes, cannot stand on their own but must attach themselves to (or ‘lean on’) some other part of speech. (The technical term for them is ‘clitic’ pronouns.) These dependent forms can be used for purposes of cross-reference, especially for subjects and objects, but are also used to create the free-standing pronouns of the 3rd person (‘he’, ‘she’, or ‘it’) through attachment to a base, which in Kora has the form //‘âi. (In Nama, this base has become contracted through a series of sound shifts to //‘î.)

The free-standing pronouns of the Kora 3rd person are shown in Table 4.3, where the hyphens are included only so as to make the morpheme divisions clearer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3rd person</th>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Dual</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>masculine</td>
<td>//‘âi-b ‘he’</td>
<td>//‘âi-khara ‘they two (masculine)’</td>
<td>//‘âi-ku-a ‘they (masculine)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feminine</td>
<td>//‘âi-s ‘she’</td>
<td>//‘âi-sara ‘they two (feminine)’</td>
<td>//‘âi-di (‘de) ‘they (feminine)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common</td>
<td>//‘âi-i ‘it’</td>
<td>//‘âi-kha ‘they two (common)’</td>
<td>//‘âi-n-a ‘they (common)’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The citation form of the feminine plural pronoun in Ouma Jacoba’s pronunciation is //‘ãide (with some palatalisation). This form (that is, with the suffix pronounced as –de rather than –di) is also the one that was recorded by Meinhof. This does not seem to be grammatically significant, however, and in all likelihood reflects a typical fluctuation between i and the close allophone of e.

We now introduce the pronouns for two other persons, which are somewhat different in their role from those of the 3rd person, being the terms of reference used by the participants in the communicative process, of themselves and of each other. These are the interlocutive persons, or the 2nd and 1st persons’, essentially meaning ‘you’, and ‘I’ or ‘we’.

In the real world, it is not unusual for such expressions – notably those of address – to reflect formally graded degrees of politeness, where age, gender, social standing, and relationship may all be factors. It is probably for this reason that languages sometimes have distinct pronouns in the 2nd and even 1st person for male and female discourse participants. Distinctions of this kind are found in the Khoekhoe languages, where gender-differentiated pronouns are used in the singular, dual, and plural. While Nama and Namibian dialects of Khoekhoe make no distinction in the 1st person, Kora had separate pronouns for ‘I (male)’, and ‘I (female)’. 
One final distinction needs to be introduced, namely the indication of inclusive versus exclusive reference in the 1st person. While it is possible to express this kind of meaning by informal methods in English, using phrases such as ‘you and I both’ versus ‘we two, not you’, Khoekhoe languages use a grammatical strategy, and build up the relevant pronouns on two different bases, where in the 1st person, sa- expresses the inclusive sense, and si- the exclusive. (It will be noticed that sa- is also the base for the 2nd person.)

The complete set of independent Kora pronouns for all persons, based on the paradigm originally drawn up by Meinhof, is set out in Table 4.4. The 1st person masculine plural marker here spelled ke is written ‘tje’ by Meinhof. (It is spelled ‘ge’ in the Namibian orthography.) The aspirated velar stop written ‘kh’ is frequently produced in reality with some affrication, so that it may sound more like kx, whereas the voiceless unaspirated stop k is often heard as g. There is some ambiguity about the exact phonetic form of the masculine non-singular suffixes: Meinhof and Douglas Beach both recorded kao (Nama go) for the masculine plural in the 2nd person (‘you (2mp)’), but kharo (Nama kho) and khora (Nama kha) for the masculine dual in the 2nd (‘you (2md)’) and 3rd persons (‘they (3md)’) respectively, as well as kha for the common dual in the 3rd person (‘they (3cd)’).

### Table 4.4 The free-standing (or independent) pronouns of Kora, for all persons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st person, inclusive of addressed party</th>
<th>Dual</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Masculine</strong> ti-re (‘ti-r, ti-te’) ‘(male)’</td>
<td>sa-kham ‘you and I both (male)’</td>
<td>sa-ke [‘tje, kje] ‘we all (male)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine ti-ta ‘(female)’</td>
<td>sa-sam ‘you and I both (female)’</td>
<td>sa-se ‘we all (female)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common</td>
<td>sa-m ‘you and I both’</td>
<td>sa-da ‘we all’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st person, exclusive of addressed party</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculine si-kham ‘us two (male)’ [not you]</td>
<td>si-ke [‘tje, kje] ‘us all (male)’ [not you]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine si-sam ‘us two (female)’ [not you]</td>
<td>si-se ‘us all (female)’ [not you]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common si-m ‘us two’ [not you]</td>
<td>si-da ‘us all’ [not you]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2nd person</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculine sa-ts ‘you (male)’</td>
<td>sa-kharo ‘you two (male)’</td>
<td>sa-kao [‘khao] ‘you (male)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine sa-s ‘you (female)’</td>
<td>sa-saro ‘you two (female)’</td>
<td>sa-sao ‘you (female)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common sa-khao ‘you two’</td>
<td>sa-du ‘you’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3rd person</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculine ši-i-b ‘he’</td>
<td>ši-i-kharo ‘they two (male)’</td>
<td>ši-i-ku ‘they (male)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine ši-i-s ‘she’</td>
<td>ši-i-sara ‘they two (female)’</td>
<td>ši-i-de ‘they (female)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common ši-i-i ‘it’</td>
<td>ši-i-kha ‘they two’</td>
<td>ši-i-n ‘they’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We could not obtain recordings of all possible pronouns listed in the paradigm above, partly because it was difficult without taxing the stamina of our consultant to sketch the kinds of complex scenarios that would have called for the use of the inclusive and exclusive dual forms with all their differentiations for gender, and partly because Ouma Jacoba Maclear did not always have complete confidence in her memory.

In addition to their role in forming the free-standing pronouns, the dependent pronouns may also lean on other parts of speech, including qualifying expressions...
such as demonstratives, quantifiers, or adjectives, as well as verbs and connectives, where they then typically cross-reference a subject or an object. We will discuss this in more detail below, where it will be seen that certain of the hosting parts of speech may function as noun-like expressions when they carry a dependent (clitic) pronoun in this way.

In the 1st person, male speakers typically use –r or –re, as in some of the examples given previously, where –r seems to be the form of the dependent pronoun used where the speaker is the subject of the action or process, and –re the form used where he is the object (although the shortened form may well be merely a random variant without grammatical significance).

Examples of pronouns used of themselves by women are rather rare in the texts, although the most commonly recorded dependent pronoun for the 1st person is –te, where it typically occurs in contexts where the speaker is the object (or recipient). The pronoun most commonly used by our consultant Ouma Jacoba Maclear, however, is –ti. A minor difficulty of analysis arises in these cases because the vowel of the unstressed clitic pronoun –ti is easily confused with that of –te, while in the speech of Ouma Jacoba it is sometimes elided altogether. There are a few isolated and ambiguous cases in the texts (but not in the usage of our consultant) where –ta occurs, where it may be the form used by a female speaker in contexts where she is the subject of the action or process, but is also open to interpretation as a form of the 1st person common plural –da ‘we’.

(a) (i) **dìt̪o̞-r ko sǐsenti**
    - **finish-I (m) Rec.Past the work**
    - ‘I finished the work’

(ii) **mũ-r na**
    - **see-I (m) Prog.**
    - ‘I am seeing’

(iii) **ǁxāu-re ko uibi**
    - **sting-me (m) Rec.Past the bee**
    - ‘the bee stung me’

(iv) **mũ-ta na**
    - **see-I (f) Prog.**
    - ‘I am seeing’

(v) **ǀxom-t na**
    - **pity-I (f) Prog.**
    - ‘I pity [him]’

(vi) **ǁxăǁxă-t na**
    - **teach-I (f) Prog.**
    - ‘I am teaching [the Korana language]’
4.1.2 Qualifying expressions

There is a sense in which determiners and adjectives help to bridge the gap between the abstract denotation of a referring expression – and the set of real world referents it may potentially apply to in the context of an exchange between speakers. In the next few sections we will look at the various kinds of qualifying expressions used in Kora, and the ways in which they occur within the expanded noun phrase.

As noted earlier, the Kora noun occurs at the end of the phrase it heads, with the various expressions that may qualify it typically occurring before it. Readers familiar with the terminology long used in the South African tradition of African language study will recognise that the various form classes referred to here as ‘qualifying expressions’, are essentially equivalent to the parts of speech termed ‘qualificatives’ in older descriptions of languages such as those of the Nguni or Sotho-Tswana groups. Much like the qualificatives in these other languages of southern Africa, determiners and adjectives in Khoekhoe may function as pronominal expressions when they are combined with a dependent pronoun, where these pronominal forms can then not only stand in for a noun (as anaphors), but may optionally stand alongside a noun (that is, in apposition to it), in which case, however, they follow it.

4.1.2.1 Demonstratives

Demonstratives are used to indicate various degrees of proximity or distance, not only in the real world, as in ‘here’, ‘there’, or ‘way over there’, but often also in reference to the discourse itself, as in ‘just mentioned’ or ‘previously mentioned’. Kora seems to have had two main demonstratives, where one has a ‘near’ and the other a ‘far’ implication. A third demonstrative was nevertheless noted by both Maingard and Lloyd, while Wuras recorded what seems to have been a variant of the same morpheme.

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{hē} & \text{‘this’ (may vary as hi)} \\
\text{ǁnā} & \text{‘that’} \\
\text{hau} & \text{‘that (remote)’ (recorded by Maingard and Lloyd)} \\
\text{nau} & \text{‘that (remote)’ (noted only by Wuras)}
\end{array}
\]
The possible existence of the third demonstrative (hau ~ nau) is intriguing, given that, in addition to forms for near and distant deixis, Nama has nau ‘that’. There are a few instances in the texts, as Meinhof notes,\(^{55}\) where hē is reduplicated, to give hēhē, which has an implication similar to Afrikaans hierdie ‘this here’.

The form of the near demonstrative hē is yet another respect in which Kora differs from Nama, where the equivalent demonstrative is nē. (Cape Khoekhoe, as mentioned in a previous chapter, similarly used hē rather than nē.)

Meinhof noted that the demonstratives could be used both as determiners and, when combined with a dependent pronoun, as nominal expressions in their own right. He cited various examples from texts contributed by Benjamin Kats to illustrate these different functions. When they are used as straightforward determiners, the demonstratives occur before the noun, as in the following phrases given by Meinhof.\(^{56}\)

\[(a)\] hē haib-bi ‘this tree’
\[||nā ǂxanis\] ‘that book’
\[hēhē gaode\] ‘these here laws’

The first example in the set above incidentally illustrates what seems to be a further type of demonstrative strategy, involving the placement of a dependent pronominal element – in this case – bi (3\(^{rd}\) person masculine singular) – after the noun and its suffix. The general implication seems to be one of definiteness. This pattern was regularly found in Kora by both Meinhof and Maingard, and is reflected also in some of Lloyd’s transcriptions. Meinhof noted\(^ {57}\) its similarity to a common pattern in BANTU languages, which likewise generally place demonstratives before the noun, but may in some cases additionally use post-placed forms with a relatively weak deictic force.\(^ {58}\)

The demonstratives can sometimes be placed after the noun, in which case, though, they must cross-reference the latter by means of a dependent pronoun. (Another way of looking at such instances would be to say that when they carry dependent pronouns, the demonstratives take on a noun-like character, and may then be placed after the nouns they qualify, in an appositional usage.) We have chosen to adopt the convention of the current Namibian orthography, where the dependent pronouns in these cases are written conjunctively, which is to say, without a hyphen.\(^ {59}\)

The following example phrases are again from Meinhof.\(^ {60}\)

\[(b)\] khoebi hēb ‘this man’
\[hũbi hēb\] ‘this master’
\[ǂ‘ũbi ||nāb\] ‘that food’

When they are nominalised in this way, the demonstratives may also behave as forward-looking pronouns (cataphors), as in the following sentences:

\[(c)\] (i) Hēb ke kx’ob a. ‘This is the meat.’ (BK9)
(ii) ||Nāku ||xamaku a. ‘Those are hartebeests.’ (Mhf18)
The two examples above incidentally illustrate the ubiquitous postnominal –a, which is used in these cases as part of the non-verbal (copular) predication, and expresses the sense of English ‘be’. The first example also includes an instance of the much-debated ke particle (spelled ‘tje’ by Meinhof in the original), which here appears after the topic of the sentence. We will discuss these aspects of sentence and information structure at a later stage.

4.1.2.2 Quantifiers

The following quantifiers are found in Kora:

- |ui ‘only, solely, alone’
- |kx’oro (~ |’oro) ‘a few’
- |kx’oasa (~ |’oasa) ‘many, much’
- hoa ‘all’
- |nai (~ |ni) ‘some other’ (same?)
- |xara ‘other, another’ (different?)
- ||xā ‘the selfsame’

The phrases below illustrate the use of some of these quantifiers as they occur in the texts. As we would expect, they are primarily used before the noun:

(a) |’oro khoekua ‘a few men’ (Bhf1)
(b) ||xā khoeb ‘the same man’ (Bhf10)
(c) hoa ||’omakhoen ab ‘all his friends’ (AB6)
(d) hoa khoena ‘all people’ (= everyone) (JM)

Although the quantifiers are normally placed before the noun, they may (like the demonstratives) also be used after the noun in the appositional pattern, in which case they must express cross-referential agreement by means of a dependent pronoun. The following example illustrates this usage:

(b) lhūb hoab ‘the whole world’ (Mhf)

When combined with a dependent pronoun, the quantifiers may be used as anaphoric expressions standing in for a noun, as in the following sentence from a description by Andries Bitterbos of different kinds of traditional vessels (xabaku). The translation into Afrikaans was provided by the speaker.

(c) |Naiku ke |’okx’am hā, |naiku ke |nubukx’am hā. ‘Annerse is oop-bek, annerse is nou-bek.’
    ‘Some are wide-mouthed, others are narrow-mouthed.’

4.1.2.3 Numbers

Number terms essentially provide a more precise form of quantification. The Kora terms listed below are for the cardinal numbers from one to ten, but speakers were well able to combine them in order to express higher numbers, using multiples of the
decimal base and the comitative /kx’a/ ‘with’, as seen in the additional examples. The Kora number names are similar to those of Nama, except that the term for ‘seven’ in Nama has been reduced to hû. (In Cape Khoekhoe, the term for ‘seven’ was recorded as ‘honcko’.)

|ui| ‘one’ |
|am| ‘two’ |
|ǃnona| ‘three’ |
|haka| ‘four’ |
|koro| ‘five’ |
|ǃnani| ‘six’ |
|hâukx’ũ| ‘seven’ |
|ǁxaisi| ‘eight’ |
|guēsi| ‘nine’ |
|disi (~ ɗisée, tʃisì)₆³| ‘ten’ |
|disi ǀui ǀkx’a| ‘eleven’ |
|ǀam disi| ‘twenty’ |
|hâukx’ũ disi ǀkx’a| ‘seventy-three’ |

The number terms are used in the same way as the enumeratives and other determiners: usually they are placed before a noun, but they may under certain circumstances be used appositionally.

In the ordinal use, Meinhof reported that the numbers were combined with the same base that is used to form the absolute pronouns, namely /ǁ’ai, as in ǀnona /ǁ’ai ‘third’. (This is also the pattern used in Namibian Khoekhoe.) Examples of the ordinal use can be seen in Benjamin Kats’s story (BK15) about the woman who took a thorn from a lion’s paw. It is intriguing, nevertheless, to note Maingard’s spelling /ǁ’aeb in association with similar ordinals in the text about the making of karosses (Beth1), since this suggests that the word may come from /kx’aeb ‘time’, which was occasionally recorded with a nasalised diphthong. A separate word, kx’aise, is used for ‘first’ (where it has an adverbial implication, as in ‘do first’).

4.1.2.4 Adjectives

Adjectives can occur after a demonstrative and a quantifier or a number. While Kora has an extensive set of basic adjectives, the language could readily derive descriptive terms from other parts of speech. Some of the basic adjectives are listed below, where it will be seen that a number of them include a suffix –sa. This suffix is used productively in the derivation of new adjectives from a range of categories, but perhaps most commonly from verbs.

|kai| ‘big’ |
gaida| ‘aged, old’ |
<p>|ǀā| ‘small’ |
|ǂxam| ‘young’ |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ṭāi</th>
<th>‘good’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subu</td>
<td>‘light, easy’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ˈō</td>
<td>‘dry’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ˈō</td>
<td>‘naked, open, exposed’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳx’ū</td>
<td>‘tame’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṃnari</td>
<td>‘wild’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>āu</td>
<td>‘thick’ (of curdled milk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳx’ā</td>
<td>‘sharp’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳx’ā</td>
<td>‘wet’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳabella</td>
<td>‘wide, broad’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳnubu</td>
<td>‘short, narrow’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳx’abebe</td>
<td>‘weak’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ˈū</td>
<td>‘nearby’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ˈom</td>
<td>‘heavy, difficult’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ˈői</td>
<td>‘thin’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thamsa</td>
<td>‘soft’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʒsa</td>
<td>‘beautiful’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʒsə</td>
<td>‘new’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʒaisa</td>
<td>‘strong’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oesoa</td>
<td>‘crazy, infatuated’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳxa’usa</td>
<td>‘bitter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḳx’oosa</td>
<td>‘brave’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>karo(sa)</td>
<td>‘hard’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thōsa</td>
<td>‘painful’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kausa</td>
<td>‘fat’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭnonakurisa</td>
<td>‘three-year-old’ (of livestock)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colour (or animal coat pattern) terms.
| ḳnū | ‘black’ |
| xati | ‘white’ |
| ḳhāi | ‘yellow, buff, pale’ (Afrikaans vaal) |
| loa | ‘brown (dark)’ |
| lnoa | ‘blue, grey’ |
| ḳx’äm | ‘green’ |
| ḳx’aba | ‘red and white’ (Sotho –tjhaba) |
| ḳhō | ‘striped, spotted, marked’ |
| garu | ‘dappled, speckled, mottled’ |

The adjectives may be modified by an intensifier equivalent to English ‘very’, namely kaise ‘greatly’, which is used before the adjective. Addition of the diminutive suffix to an adjective seems to imply ‘slightly’.
In addition to –sa, a number of other suffixes are occasionally used in the derivation of adjectives. These include:

- xa
  similar in implication to English ‘-ful’, as in ‘beautiful’ or ‘plentiful’

- o
  similar in implication to English ‘-less’, as in ‘endless’ or ‘timeless’

Examples of Kora adjectives featuring these suffixes are shown in the set below, where it will be seen that the so-called ‘privative’ suffix –o is separated from the noun by an apostrophe. This convention is adopted to keep it distinct from any vowels that might precede it.

ǁkx’orexa
‘bad, wicked’

ǃāixa
‘happy’

ǂūxa
‘(plentifully) supplied with food’

ǀ’am’o
‘endless’

ǀkx’ae’o
‘disease-free’

ǁkx’ae’o
‘hasty’ (lit. ‘lacking time’)

As we would expect, adjectives are usually placed before the noun, although they may also be used appositionally. The examples below show the straightforward usage:

(d) ǀkx’ā ǀuib
‘sharp stone’ (JM)

ǃxōhesa khoena
‘captured people’ (with passive verb) (JM)

• **Summary of qualifying expressions**

The determiners and adjectives discussed above may occur in various combinations in the context of an expanded noun phrase. The sketch previously given is repeated below as a summary of possible combinations, in the basic case where they are placed before the noun.

![Summary of qualifying expressions](4.2)

**FIGURE 4.2** Summary of the composition of the Kora noun phrase, with additional examples.

### 4.1.2.5 The possessive

There is one last type of quasi-determiner, which may broadly be termed possessive, and which involves the qualification of a noun by placing it into an associative relationship with another. The associative relation may be expressed in several ways:
by means of a possessive pronoun (‘my’, ‘your’, ‘his’), or a simple nominal apposition, or else – but perhaps less commonly in Kora – by the use of a possessive particle di, which is placed between two nouns expressing a possessor and a possessee. Lastly, the dependent pronouns may attach to a possessive base a-, in which case they have the capacity to stand as anaphoric expressions. The following examples illustrate these various strategies.

(a) Pronominal possession (possessor expressed by a pronoun)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ti ĩna</td>
<td>‘my parents’</td>
<td>(JM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ti inaxuku i-r ke na ǂ ‘ĩū</td>
<td>‘I am eating my intestines’ ^64</td>
<td>(BK9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Nominal apposition (possessor and possessee juxtaposed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ǀ’ōb ǀb</td>
<td>‘the boy’s father’</td>
<td>(AB6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uīb xābi</td>
<td>‘the bee’s sting’</td>
<td>(Mhf1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ’ũb ǀ’onni</td>
<td>‘the food’s name’</td>
<td>(BK1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xammi saob</td>
<td>‘the lion’s tail’</td>
<td>(BK14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Possessive particle di

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ǀ’ōs di ǀhabab</td>
<td>‘the girl’s coming-of-age ceremony’</td>
<td>(Bhf4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goman di ǀnāku</td>
<td>‘cattle horns’</td>
<td>(AB1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂUntub, Teteb di ǀnausab ǂUntub, Teteb’s uncle</td>
<td>(Bhf1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possessive base a-.

The following examples show the slightly more complex strategy involving the use of the possessive base a, which is combined with a dependent pronoun expressing the possessor, and placed after the thing possessed. (In Namibian Khoekhoe, this particle has a nasalised vowel.) In the interest of achieving some degree of standardisation, we have again settled on the convention of the Namibian orthography, where possessive formulations based on a- are written conjunctively, or in other words, without a hyphen.\^65

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ǀharukx’omku ǀna</td>
<td>‘their reed-mat houses’</td>
<td>(AB9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǀxāǀxāx’aob ada</td>
<td>‘our teacher’</td>
<td>(BK5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kobab ada</td>
<td>‘our language’</td>
<td>(BK7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsī ĩiku are _fh’kxoasisi</td>
<td>‘and take out my intestines’ (male speaker)</td>
<td>(BK9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǂ‘aib ab ko khāa khoebi</td>
<td>‘the man broke his foot’</td>
<td>(Mhf63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hoa ǀ’omakhoen ab</td>
<td>‘all his friends’</td>
<td>(AB6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.2.6 More complex kinds of qualification (a preliminary note)

As we would expect, the noun can be qualified in more complex ways by means of embedded phrases, which may be translated into English by participial phrases such as ‘the dancing child’, or relative clauses such as ‘the child who loves to dance’, where these take the place of simple adjectives. An example of such a phrasal adjective in Kora is seen in the sentence below, where the deliberately literal Afrikaans translation was provided by Andries Bitterbos. (Note that Kora does not have an overt relative marker equivalent to English ‘who’.)
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4.2 The adpositional phrase

We saw an example of an adpositional phrase (ǃgarib lna ‘in the river’) at the beginning of this chapter, in the sentence now repeated below:

(a) i ke tarakhoedi ǃgarib lna |hãkua di|hao|hao

‘and the women gathered reeds in the river’

As the example demonstrates, the Kora adpositions are placed after the noun, so that they are actually postpositions rather than prepositions. In terms of their general role within the sentence, the adpositional phrases typically occur within the adjunctive part of the sentence, where they are associated with optional additional arguments having peripheral (rather than core) semantic roles.

The following are the main postpositions used in Kora, with the kinds of arguments they typically introduce noted in parentheses:

- `xa` ‘by’ (agent, instrument)
- `ǀxoa` ‘with’ (comitative)
- `ǀxa` ‘with’ (means)
- `diba (~ daba)` ‘at, in the vicinity of’ (locus)
- `lnã (~ !na)` ‘in’ (locus)
- `kх’ai` ‘on, at’ (locus)
- `kx’am` ‘on, over, around’ (locus)
- `ǁkx’aiigu` ‘between’ (locus)
- `ǂ’ama` ‘on, above’ (locus)
- `thoa` ‘under, beneath’ (locus)
- `!oa` ‘to’ (path)
- `xu` ‘from’ (path)
- `ǀxī` ‘to, towards’ (path) [rare]

It is likely that some of these postpositions have arisen from nouns, in much the same way as similar sets of adpositions found widely in other languages throughout Africa. While the nominal origins of the Kora expressions are not always apparent, the following sources proposed by various older authors, including Meinhof, seem plausible:
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ǃnã ‘in’ < ǃnãb ‘belly’
kx’ai ‘on, at’ < kx’aiib ‘face, surface’
kx’am ‘on, around’ < kx’ammi ‘edge, rim’
ǂ’ama ‘on’ < ǂ’amma ‘peak, tip, top’
ǀxa ‘with, alongside’ < ǀxāb ‘body, side’

At the same time, and as Meinhof noted, one or two of the postpositions, including !oa ‘towards’ and xu ‘from’, appear to be verbal in origin.

The following passage, which is from an historical account given to Maingard by members of the Bloemhof Korana, shows the use of several postpositional phrases:

(b) I ke ǀnāba xu Mamusaba !oa doe,
i-ku ke ǀnāba xu
ǀ’oro khoekua |Hai !Garib !oa doe,
i ke hā-ku ke hā,
ǀxaodi hēba mühe
|Hai !Garib !na.  (Bhf1)

‘From there they trekked to Mamusa,
and then from there
a few people trekked to the Vaal river,
and when they had come,
hippopotamuses (ǀxaodi) were seen here
in the Vaal River.’

The extract above includes an example, in Mamusaba, of how the nouns in some adpositional phrases (but not all) are assigned what seems to be a postnominal –a. Rust68 provided a rule to account for such instances in Nama, noting that xu, ū and !oa always take what he called the ‘a-Accusative’. It is by no means clear, however, that –a marks an accusative in these instances, and indeed, it looks rather more as though the postnominal –a is associated with nouns that have the typically peripheral semantic roles associated with ‘path’, such as a goal or a source. (Meinhof suggested69 that the postpositions involved might be specifically ones that have arisen from verbs rather than nouns, which would be compatible with their associated arguments having such roles.) The possibility arises that –a in these instances is perhaps a reduced form of some other morpheme (such as the locative –ba). This problematic particle will be revisited in a later section below.

4.3 The verb phrase

In this section, we will look at the consituents of the Kora verb phrase, beginning with the verb itself.
4.3.1 Verbs

Like other Khoekhoe languages, Kora distinguishes two kinds of verbs: ordinary action verbs, and process verbs. (Verbs of the second kind are often termed ‘stative’, because the completion of the process leads to a state.) These two kinds of verbs differ from one another in the way they behave syntactically (for example, in terms of the ways they express tense and aspect), and are often also differently characterised from a semantic point of view, in terms of the roles associated with their arguments.

A further point to remember is the more general one that, while most predications use verbs as the predicating expression, there are nevertheless some kinds of predications that are non-verbal, as in cases where it is an identity, attribute or locus that is predicated of the subject, rather than an action or process. Because predications of this kind link a subject to some kind of property, they are often referred to as ‘coupling’ (or copular) predications. The sense of such predications is typically conveyed in English by the verb ‘be’, but there are many languages of the world that do not use an overt copula, or else use verbs with possessive or locative implications.

4.3.1.1 The basic stems, and different kinds of verbs

Many of the verbs in Kora, as in other Khoekhoe varieties, consist of a simple bisyllabic word, or at very least a monosyllable with two prosodic morae. (It will be remembered that nasalised vowels are always long.) The following examples are some of the more commonly occurring verbs in the texts:

Verbs of perception or sensation, which do not necessarily imply deliberate agency, although they inevitably require sentient (and therefore animate) subjects:

- **hō** ‘discover, see, find’
- **mũ** ‘see’
- **thã** ‘taste, feel’
- **ǁnãu** ‘listen’
- **ǃ‘ā** ‘hear’
- **ǂom** ‘believe’
- **ǂ’an** ‘know’

Verbs of stage or transition, which are sometimes referred to as verbs of position or posture, where there is no necessary implication of volition and subjects may be inanimate:

- **hã** ‘stay, remain’
- **mã** ‘stand, stop’
- **sã** ‘rest a while’
- **ǁoe** ‘lie down’
- **ǂnũ** ‘sit’
Verbs with directional meaning, where there is again not necessarily any implication of volition and subjects may be inanimate:

- **bē** ‘go away, depart’
- **hā** ‘come’
- **khā** ‘go up, rise’
- **sī** ‘get to, arrive’
- **xū** ‘go from, leave’
- **lū** ‘go to, travel towards’
- **!’aba** ‘go up, ascend’
- **!’xaru** ‘go through’
- **!’ōa** ‘go down, descend’
- **!’ā** ‘go in, enter’
- **!’kx’oa** ‘come out from, emerge’

Verbs that mostly imply deliberate agency or intentionality on the part of the subject (and so by implication also animacy), where some can or must express an object, or even two objects:

- **dī** ‘do, make’
- **doe** ‘trek, flee, fly’
- **huri** ‘jump’
- **koa** ‘praise’
- **koba** ‘speak’ (address?)
- **kurū** ‘create, make’
- **khao** ‘dig’
- **khom** ‘speak’
- **kx’ā** ‘drink’
- **kx’āi** ‘laugh’
- **kx’am** ‘roast, braai’
- **kx’om** ‘build’
- **mī** ‘say’
- **oe** ‘answer, return’
- **sī** ‘send’
- **thā** ‘swim’
- **ū** ‘take’
- **!’ai** ‘dance, sing’
- **!’huru** ‘play’
- **!’ama** ‘trade’
- **!’nae** ‘sing’
- **!’hoba** ‘return home’
- **!’xō** ‘catch’
- **!’xoē** ‘run’
- **!’ū** ‘eat’
The verb extensions

The basic verb root may be extended by one or more suffixes. Much like the familiar verb extensions of the BANTU languages and other languages of Africa, these have a range of functions, but typically affect the number of participants necessarily involved in the action or process, as well as their semantic roles. The participants in any given action or process are syntactically expressed as arguments of the verb, and since most verbs have an inherent ‘quota’ of core arguments – where the number required depends on whether the verb is transitive or intransitive – the main significance of the verb extensions lies in their alteration of that original quota, mostly by adding, but sometimes by substracting, arguments.

The following verb extensions are the ones most commonly found in Kora:

- **–he (–e)** passive (subject argument with non-agentive role)
- **–ba** applicative (additional dependent argument with the role of beneficiary)
- **–gu** reciprocal (additional argument with same role as the original subject, and with transitivity of the verb implied)
- **–sen (–sn)** reflexive (one argument with two roles, where the agent is identical with the experiencer)
- **–si** causative (additional argument with instigating role, without implication of sentient original subject)
- **–kasi** impellative (additional argument with instigating role, with implication of sentient original subject)
- **–xa** instrumental (additional argument with the role of means)
- **–xoa** comitative (multiple subject arguments with the same role, without transitivity of the verb implied)

In addition to these extensions, reduplication may be used (perhaps particularly with verbs of process) to add the implication that a state of affairs is made to come about. For example, whereas the verb \(\text{ǀkx’ā} \) means ‘be sharp’, the reduplicated form \(\text{ǀkx’āǀkx’ā} \) means ‘make sharp’ or ‘sharpen’.

A few verbs have extensions that are intrinsic to their meaning, and these verbs never occur without them. Examples include \(\text{ǃãgu} \) ‘fight’, \(\text{sĩsen} \) ‘work’ and \(\text{ǀkx’aesen} \) ‘be ill’. For Nama, Hagman noted that in the case of certain verbs of ‘position’ (stage or transition), some of them feature a suffix –i that seems to reflect an old causative, as in \(\text{māi} \) ‘make something stand’ (from \(\text{mā} \) ‘stand’) or \(\text{ǀgui} \) ‘make something lie’
(from $\parallel$goe ‘lie down’). (Another example is \$nüi ‘put in place, position’ from \$nû ‘sit’.) In fact, Meinhof expressly noted\(^{74}\) that the Kora equivalents of such words typically preserved \$si, and cited the case of Nama ūkhâi ‘pick up’, where Kora has ūkhâsi.

- **The passive extension**

In cases where several extensions are used in combination, the passive is always placed last. It may occur optionally as \$he or \$e, but for purposes of standardisation will be written as \$he. (A palatal glide is sometimes reflected in the texts where speakers used the reduced form of the passive extension after a preceding vowel. This tells us that the passive \$e did not have a glottalised onset, and for this reason it would be misleading to use an apostrophe to separate the vowels in spellings.)

Verbs featuring the passive extension seem to be in the neuter or ‘middle’ voice of old-fashioned grammars. This is to say that the verb requires only a single argument, where this has the syntactic function of a subject, but the semantic role of an undergoer or theme. Any additional arguments, such as an actual agent, are not obligatory, and seem to require introduction as syntactic adjuncts, where they are then typically expressed in the form of an adpositional phrase using \$a ‘by’, in association with which the noun concerned is often assigned a postnominal \$a.

The passive is used with great frequency throughout the heritage texts, in what seems to have been a stylistic convention of the narrative genre, as was observed by Schultze\(^{75}\) in regard to Nama. It is not always appropriate in these cases to use the equivalent passive forms in the English translation, and in some instances it may be better to use an impersonal formulation.

- **The applicative extension**

The addition of the applicative extension allows for the introduction of an extra argument into the verb phrase with the thematic role of a beneficiary. In the case of the sentence below, the verb \$dī ‘make’ also has a passive extension, which implies a non-agentive role for the syntactic subject, which in this case is \$kharedi ‘bored stones (digging stick weights)’. The object of the applicative \$ba ‘for’ is tarakhoedi ‘the women’. Neither the beneficiary nor the theme need be expressed by nouns featuring the postnominal \$a, perhaps because they represent arguments that fall within the allowed quota by virtue of the verb plus its extension.

The adpositional phrase \$kx’aosakua \$xa ‘by the men’ in the same sentence is a syntactic adjunct, however, and introduces an additional argument associated with the role of agent. (It is not clear why the postnominal \$a is used in this instance, and for the present it may simply be noted as an apparent requirement for nouns governed by the adposition \$xa.)

(a) \$kharedi (\$kx’aosakua \$xa) tarakhoedi \$dibahe

‘the bored stones were made for the women (by the men)’  
(Bhf 7)
The reciprocal and reflexive extensions

The following examples illustrate the reciprocal and reflexive extensions:

**Reciprocal:**

\[\text{x̄ugu} \quad \text{‘shoot arrows at one another’}\]

**Reflexive:**

\[\text{x̄osen} \quad \text{‘scratch self’}\]

The causative and impellative extensions

The causative expressed by –si typically implies the introduction of an external instigating agent. It is frequently used in the context of compound verbs, where the subject of the second verb in its unextended form is non-agentive (and non-sentient), as in the examples below.

\[\text{ǃxōkhãsi} \quad \text{‘snatch up’} \quad \text{(snatch-rise-Caus)}\]
\[\text{ǂaeǂkx’oasi} \quad \text{‘undress, take off’} \quad \text{(drag-emerge-Caus)}\]
\[\text{xoraǂkx’oasi} \quad \text{‘scrape out’} \quad \text{(scrape-emerge-Caus)}\]
\[\text{ūǂkx’oasi} \quad \text{‘take out’} \quad \text{(take-emerge-Caus)}\]

Compound verbs of this kind will be discussed in slightly more detail in a later section, where these remarks should become clearer.

The impellative –kasi implies, on the other hand, that the original subject of the verb is sentient, and that the additionally introduced argument compels the initiation of the action. This extension does not seem to have been previously noted in Kora. Although Nama has the cognate morpheme kai, the latter is not usually counted as a verb extension. Notably, though, some languages belonging to the Kalahari branch have –ka as a causative extension. (It may also be significant that Kora has another morpheme ka, which functions as a marker of the potential mood, but can be used to introduce a purposive clause, as will be discussed later.) The examples below show occurrences of –kasi in Kora:

\[\text{ūhākasihe} \quad \text{‘be made to keep’} \quad \text{(have-Impel-Pass)}\]
\[\text{ǃxōekasihe} \quad \text{‘be made to run away’} \quad \text{(run-Impel-Pass)}\]
\[\text{ǂaeǂkx’oasikasi} \quad \text{‘make take off’} \quad \text{(take off-Impel)}\]

The instrumental and comitative extensions

The instrumental extension –ixa allows the verb to take an additional argument with the role of a ‘means’, as in the example below:

(a) tsí !garib !lāujxa

\[\text{‘en die rivier deurgegaan daarmee’} \quad \text{(AB9)}\]

\[\text{‘and crossed the river with them’ [by means of rafts]}\]
The comitative extension –ǀxoa, on the other hand, permits multiple subjects with the same semantic role, and essentially adds the implication ‘do together with’. The comitative extension occurs in several places in the texts contributed by the Links Korana of Bloemhof, and is sometimes written by Maingard as ‘ǀkxwa’ and sometimes as ‘ǀkwa’, as in the following two examples (which incidentally also reflect the ‘narrative passive’):

(b) I na xonǀkxwahe ‘and they would grind together’
   [The older women are teaching the young girl how to prepare food] (Bhf4)

(c) I ke ǀnai khoeb Mamusaba ǀoa sîhe, ‘Another man was sent to Mamusa,
   i ke ǁnāba xu khoeku a and men [who] were from there
   #noajǀkwahe were fought alongside with.’ (Bhf1)

Like the impellative, the comitative extension –ǀxoa does not appear to have been explicitly noted before in Kora, or at least has not always been distinguished from the instrumental extension –ǀxa. This may be because the two extensions bear a partial resemblance to one another, at least in form. There are several instances in the Kora corpus, indeed, where the extension –ǀxa is used in place of –ǀxoa, even in contexts where its implication is clearly comitative. An example is seen in the sentence below:

(d) ’āse-r ta ǁamma huriǂ’ãǀxa-si
   ‘netnou ek sal water spring-in met jou’ (AB12)
   ‘soon I will jump into the water with you’ [together with you]

It is notable that Wuras conversely recorded a postposition with the same form ǀxoa, and having the meaning ‘with’. There are examples of this postposition’s use in the heritage texts, as in the sentence below, where the instrumental implication is clear:

(e) ǀxũdi76 thi gaokua thiku
    surugub ǀna ǀxōhe,
    i ke ǀnîab ǀkwa ǀamhe. ‘The springboks and the wildebeest
    were caught in the pitfall trap,
    and were killed with [by means of]
    a spear.’ (Bhf8)

It is not clear whether there were originally two different morphemes that have gradually converged by processes of syncretism, or whether one has developed from the other. Whatever the source of the verb extension –ǀxoa, its presence in Kora is another instance where this South African Khoekhoe variety reflects a feature that is found also in the related languages of the Kalahari branch of KHOE, but is not widely found in Nama.77
• **The use of reduplication**

The following examples illustrate reduplication, and a case of combination:

Causative reduplication:

- "āu’āu" ‘cause to become thick’ (of milk, as in buttermilk)
- "ǁxāǁxā" ‘teach’ (from "ǁxā ‘be able’

Causative reduplication combined with reflexive:

- "ǁxāǁxāsen" ‘study, learn’

• **More on combinations of the extensions**

The sentences below, from a text dictated to Maingard by two members of the Bloemhof Korana community, provide a few more examples of the extensions in use, and show how they may be combined with one another. Here there is an example of the passive in every line, plus another example of a passivised applicative (dībahe), and an example of a passivised causative (ǁgoesihe). There is even an example of a verb (ǁāsibahe) with causative, applicative and passive extensions.

(a) Gaoxaob ta !nauhe o,
   i na !hobab !nauhe,
   i na !xāib dībahe,
   i na haikua !narahe,
   i na !harub !āsibahe,
   i na !xā ǁ’ōb ŋammi xa xamihe,
   i na !nāba !goesihe,
   i na !nai !haruba !āukx’amhe,
   i na !hūba thorohe.

   ‘When a Chief is buried,
   then a grave is dug,
   and a space is prepared for [him],
   and [with] twigs is raked smooth,
   and a reed-mat is spread [caused to be spread] for (him),
   and the dead body with a kaross is wrapped,
   and there is laid [caused to lie],
   and [with] another reed-mat is covered over,
   and [with ]earth is sprinkled.’

   (Bhf6)

The question has now been raised on several occasions above whether the apparent postnominal –a that occurs in certain contexts is really a marker of case. The passage above shows how the nouns in phrases that either express the theme of a passive verb or else are phrases introduced by means of the applicative extension can occur without the postnominal –a. In other words, it seems to be the case that nouns associated with core or ‘licensed’ arguments of the extended verb do not require any marking. On the other hand, the three nouns seen here with –a (haikua ‘twigs’, !haruba ‘reed-mat’, and !hūba ‘earth’) all occur in passive phrases where they are peripheral arguments, and where their use in combination with –a seems to express what Meinhof referred to as a kind of ‘ablative case’. It is notable that there are no postpositions in these phrases, and it is a marginal possibility that a here is simply a contracted form of xa ‘by’. Clearly, though, such an analysis would not explain instances where a noun is seen with both the postnominal –a and the postposition xa, as in the example below, which is one we have seen before:
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ǃkharedi kx’osasaku xa tarakhoedi dibae

‘the bored stones were made for the women by the men’

An entirely different possibility, where a is analysed as a copula rather than any sort of case marker – at least in some instances – will be introduced later.

4.3.1.3 Compound verbs

In addition to the simple and extended verbs illustrated above, the Khoekhoe languages can create new verbs with ease by combining verbs with a variety of other stems, including other verbs, postpositions, nouns or noun phrases, and adverbs. Just like the ordinary simple verbs, these compound verbal expressions may be modified by adverbs, may take suffixed extensions, and may be used in the creation of derived nouns and adjectives. We have chosen here to write such compounds conjunctively, which is to say, without a hyphen between the two roots: this follows the Namibian convention, where ‘compounded verbs are written conjunctively if they are merged into one concept’. The only exceptions to the broad principle of conjunctive spelling would be where two adjacent vowels might interfere with readability, or where in a specific context the two verbs could be separated by ‘and’. (There are occasional instances in the texts, for example in BK14, where the verb sī ‘go to’ is used in combination with another verb, in the sense of ‘and then go and do x’, and in these cases, it is not always clear whether sī should be interpreted as part of an actual compound.)

Verb plus verb (V₁+V₂), where both verbs come from open classes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ǁnãuǃâ</td>
<td>understand</td>
<td>(listen-hear)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mûǃâ</td>
<td>recognise</td>
<td>(see-hear)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dîthã</td>
<td>test, try</td>
<td>(make-feel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dîtoa</td>
<td>complete</td>
<td>(make-finish)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verb plus verb (V₁+V₂) where V₂ is from a limited (or ‘closed’) class of verbs with implications of directional movement, and may – in a subset of cases – include a causative extension:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hurilxaru</td>
<td>jump through</td>
<td>(jump-go through)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>huriǂ’ã</td>
<td>jump into</td>
<td>(jump-enter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǁhâlã</td>
<td>run away</td>
<td>(run away-go)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǃxõkhâsi</td>
<td>pick up</td>
<td>(take-rise-Caus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!xõkhâsi</td>
<td>snatch up</td>
<td>(snatch-rise-Caus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñaeǂkx’oasi</td>
<td>take off, undress</td>
<td>(drag-emerge-Caus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xorâǂkx’oasi</td>
<td>scrape out</td>
<td>(scrape-emerge-Caus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñǂkx’oasi</td>
<td>take out</td>
<td>(take-emerge-Caus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kx’õoaǂkx’oasi</td>
<td>choose</td>
<td>(seek-emerge-Caus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thoroǂ’ã</td>
<td>shake into</td>
<td>(shake-enter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ŋnabibê</td>
<td>wipe away</td>
<td>(wipe-depart)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ūhã</td>
<td>bring, fetch</td>
<td>(take-come)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compounds of the kind shown in the second subset above are sometimes held out as examples of a special type, where each component verb has its own separate subject, and where the subject of the second verb ‘switches’ its function so as to become the overall object of the compound form. The example below may help to make this clearer:

(a) Ūkhãsi ǁnā ǀ’uib!
ū-khã-si          ǁnā    ǀ’uib
take-rise-Caus      that   stone
‘Pick up that stone’!

It is possible here to analyse the understood 2nd person addressee (‘you’) as the subject of the first verb Ū ‘take’, whereas the subject of the second verb khã ‘rise’ is strictly speaking ǀ’uib ‘stone’ (since it is the stone that does the rising). The understanding, nevertheless, is that the animate 2nd person is the overall subject of the complex predicating expression, while ‘stone’ is the object.

Because of their perceived aberrancy, compounds of this kind in Khoekhoe are sometimes proposed to reflect the external influence of some other group of languages, such as languages from the TUU family, where similar patterns are found. Are these verbs really unusual? It will be noted that many of the verbs listed above have a causative extension – and it is possible that in an older usage, all such compounds would have been similarly extended. (The Nama equivalent of Ūkhãsi is Ūkhâi, where the original causative has been partially eroded.) This extension appears to be associated specifically with the second verb, and has its usual effect of introducing an additional argument with an instigating role. This role can only be assigned to an expression that refers to a sentient (hence animate) subject, and the immediate candidate is then the subject of the first verb. The sentence is then understood as ‘[you] take [and] make rise that stone’. The upshot is that verbal compounds of this kind seem to be fully explicable in terms of ordinary Khoekhoe syntax.

Another interesting question is whether compound verbs of this kind should be analysed as participating in syntax-driven serial verb constructions – or whether they should be analysed merely as compound verbs arrived at by ordinary processes of lexical innovation. It is beyond the scope of this chapter to explore this topic in any detail, but it is worth noting that verbal compounds of Khoekhoe origin are sometimes found in other languages, such as ǀXam, where it is clear that they must have been perceived and borrowed as ready-made words. (An example is the word mûfen ‘recognise’, from Khoekhoe mûf ‘see-know’, which was recorded by Lloyd82 in the Katkop dialect spoken by Diaǃkwãin.) It is also notable that compounds of the kind illustrated below, which feature combinations of verbs plus postpositions, pattern in a similar way to those involving two verbs, even though the postpositions in these examples do not seem to be of verbal origin.
Verb plus postposition (V+Postp):

- **kobakx’ai** ‘read’
- **ǃgūkx’ai** ‘cover’
- **ǃãukx’am** ‘close up, wrap up in’
- **sīǃna** ‘reach’

Noun plus verb (N+V):

- **!’omǂnoa** ‘fight with fists, box’
- **ǁ’auǃxō** ‘catch fish’
- **daoǃũ** ‘travel’

In the case of the noun plus verb combinations, it is likely that some were perhaps established in the vocabularies of speakers as fully lexicalised items. In other cases, though, the (N+V) patterns seem, at least in certain situations of use, to reflect syntactic formulations involving the incorporation of a noun into a verb. (It is notable in the examples above that the nouns appear in this case without their usual suffixes.) Further examples of this kind of noun-incorporation will occasionally be encountered in the heritage texts.

The various types of compound verbs described above may be used in the same way as simple verbs to form compound nouns, where these may be intricately complex. Some of these examples were shown earlier, and can now be described as ‘compounds of compounds’.

- **thālūjkoaoxūb** ‘flotation device’
- **ǂ’ũkx’aiǂhabahaib** ‘table’
- **sūkurukx’aos** ‘potter (female)’
- **ǀ’urikurukx’aob** ‘metalworker, smith (male)’

4.3.2 Adverbs

As in Nama and Dama, Kora adverbs are most often formed from adjectives by addition of a characteristic adverbial suffix –*se*. Some of the examples below are from Maingard,⁸³ and the remaining ones are from the texts and our own data:
The adverbs are sometimes reduplicated, as in /ˈāseˈāse/, which was recorded by both Meinhof and Engelbrecht, who gave the translations ‘suddenly, soon, imminently’ and ‘now now’ respectively.

A few adverbial expressions, as shown below, occur without the suffix –se:

- ho’o: ‘now’
- ti: ‘thus’
- ǀnika: ‘already, always’
- ǁxaba: ‘again’
- ǁnāti: ‘thus, in that way’

Note that ho’o is spelled with an apostrophe so as to indicate the separate pronunciation of the two vowels. A few other adverbial expressions end in –ka (~ ha), while some (such as ǁoakaka ‘early in the morning’ and hētsēka ‘once upon a time’) are perhaps idiomatic.

When an adverb is used, it most often appears before the verb and any markers of tense or aspect. Nevertheless, the flexibility of ordering in Kora frequently manifests itself here, as in some of the examples below:

(a) (i) **kaise na kx’ā l’o’i**
   ‘the child cries much’  
   (PL)

(ii) **kx’aise i-t na ǂ’ũ**
   ‘I eat first’  
   (JM)

(iii) **kx’amase ti ka n’ koba xūkua**
   ‘I am telling things truly’  
   (JM)

(iv) **lnōse ǂnū a**
   ‘(he) is sitting quietly’  
   (JM)

(v) **i ke ǂ’Oabi thoathoa ǀaisase lom hā**
   ‘then Wind began to blow strongly’  
   (BKr1)

(vi) **i na ho’o khao thoathoa khoedi**
   ‘and now the women begin to dig’  
   (BK1)
4.4 The Kora sentence, part I

From this point onward, it will be easier to discuss the syntax of Kora if we expand our frame of reference to include the structure of the sentence as a whole. We noted earlier that verbs in Kora may be divided into action and process verbs. We also noted that some kinds of predications do not make use of verbs at all, but are non-verbal – as in cases where it is an identity, attribute, or locus that is being asserted. We will begin by looking at the basic formulation of verbal predications involving action and process verbs, starting with a discussion of the ways in which tense, aspect, and modality are expressed, before moving on to a discussion of non-verbal predications.

4.4.1 Action verbs in Kora, and the expression of tense, aspect, and mood

With a handful of exceptions, and unless the statement in question is an unusually broad one covering some universal and timeless truth, the overall event or process described by a predication is generally envisaged as taking place at some more or less quantifiable point in time, such as the remote or recent past, the present, or the imminent or remote future. The grammatical indication of such points along an imaginary timeline is effected by markers of tense.

The event or process may also be characterised qualitatively – in terms of its duration, since a process may be completed (perfect), or still progressing (imperfect), at the specified point in time. The grammatical expression of such qualities is effected by perfective and imperfective markers of aspect. Some languages of the world formally encode several sub-qualities of duration, such as continuous, punctuated, occasional, habitual, or inceptive in the case of ongoing processes and actions. The expression of an aspect may also be combined with an expression of tense, since a process may, for example, be completed in the present with current effect, completed in the past with temporary effect, or completed in the past with lasting (permansive) effect.

Lastly, a sentence that describes some event or process may be making a simple assertion or enquiry (in the affirmative or negative) about a situation that actually obtains in reality, or at least once obtained, or will in future. On the other hand, it may express some state of affairs that does not actually obtain in the present, past or future, but instead is being conjectured, stipulated, desired, or demanded. Such differences in the status of a proposition are referred to as differences in modality, and different languages make use of different strategies when it comes to the grammatical
expression of such modalities, where these may involve changes in word order and intonation, or the use of auxiliary verbs, grammatical morphemes, or grammatical inflection. It will be seen below that the Khoekhoe languages make use of various particles as well as a number of auxiliary verbs.

There may be some degree of overlap between these different aspects of syntax, and it is common to find cases where grammatical elements originally used to express, for example, a modal category (such as a potential mood) may take on a function of tense (such as the expression of a future implication). Similarly, elements used to express an ongoing (progressive) aspect may in some cases take on the meaning of a present tense, while morphology formerly used for a past perfect aspect may start to be used as a marker of past tense in general.

We will now look at the particles (and in some cases, auxiliaries) used to express tense, aspect, and mood in Kora. Readers familiar with Namibian Khoekhoe dialects will notice that there are several differences here between Kora and Nama.

Tense:

- **ko** recent past
- **ta (~ ra)** future
- **ke (tje, kie)** remote past

There is no specific particle for the present tense, and in many cases this tense is either implied by the absence of any marker, or else is conveyed by the imperfective marker *na*, which we will here simply term the ‘progressive’ marker. Note that in Namibian Khoekhoe, the future tense is expressed by *nî*, whereas in Kora *nî* is a modal particle used to express obligation. In Namibian Khoekhoe, it is the imperfect aspect that is expressed by *ra (~ta)*.

Aspect (particles):

- **na** progressive (= ongoing action or process, or ‘imperfect’)
- **hâ** perfect (= completed action or process)

Aspect (auxiliary verb):

- **thoathoa** inceptive (equivalent to English ‘begin to’)\(^{85}\)

The perfective marker used to express a completed process will here simply be referred to as the ‘perfect’ marker. It takes the form in Kora of *hâ*, and differs from other grammatical morphemes of tense and aspect in the language in that it occurs **after** the verb, while it may also be used in its own right as an independent verb meaning ‘stay, remain’. In its use as a marker of aspect, it seems to behave much like the second verb of a verbal compound, and indeed this is almost certainly its origin. (In languages belonging to the Kalahari branch of the KHOE family, a linking particle occurs between the verb and markers of aspect such as *hâ*, which expresses a perfect, and *hî*, which seems to express a past perfect.)

The Khoekhoe particles of tense and aspect may occur in combination with each other, and even sometimes in a doubled formulation, for example as *ko ko*. (While the doublings occur freely in Namibian Khoekhoe, they are not common in the Kora
texts, although they occur occasionally in contributions made by Piet Links, where they may be a reflection of Giri influence.)

In Kora, both the tense and aspect particles frequently ‘lean on’ the connective i, so that some older authors even wrote them conjunctively, for example as ina or ikie. In a few cases, possibly under the partial influence of Afrikaans, this combination was produced as ena (compare Afrikaans en ‘and’) or even dana (Afrikaans dan ‘then’). The likelihood is that i was originally a verb.

Mood (particles):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Meanings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nĩ</td>
<td>obligative (equivalent to English ‘must’ or ‘should’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ka</td>
<td>potential (equivalent to English ‘may’ or ‘might’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, hā</td>
<td>hortative (equivalent to English ‘do please’, or ‘let us’)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The potential marker ka is typically used in sentences that reflect a modality of desire, or intended purpose, or even polite exhortation, and is sometimes used in combination with the future tense. The hortative particle hā resembles the verb meaning ‘come’, and may well have arisen from it. Examples of sentences featuring its use will be provided in a later section of the chapter.

Hagman identified a number of modal auxiliary verbs in Nama, where they are used to express positive or negative volition, intention or capacity. They include ǂgao ‘want’, ǁkhā ‘be able’, ǁoa ‘be unable’, tsā ‘try’, and kai ‘compel’. In Kora, apart from a few cases involving ǂao ‘want’, a few instances of ǁoa ‘be unable’ in a text contributed by Piet Links (where it may be a Giri usage), and one or two examples of ǁxā (or ǁxã) ‘be able’, the expected counterparts of these auxiliaries are not widely found. (The Kora counterpart of kai is kasi, which we discussed earlier under the heading of the verbal extensions.) We can nevertheless list the following verbs, which appear to behave much like the Namibian Khoekhoe auxiliaries mentioned by Hagman, and which provide similar periphrastic expressions of mood.

Mood (auxiliary verbs):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auxiliary Verb</th>
<th>Meanings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ǂao</td>
<td>volitive (equivalent to English ‘would like to’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kx’ao</td>
<td>abilitive (equivalent to English ‘can’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǁxā (~ ǁxã)</td>
<td>abilitive (equivalent to English ‘can’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ǁ’oa</td>
<td>inabilitive (equivalent to English ‘cannot’)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following examples illustrate the use of some of the particles and auxiliaries set out above. It will be noticed that, in the relatively few cases where the verb is placed at the end of the phrase in its basic (which is to say, neutral or unmarked) position, the particles of tense and aspect, with the notable exception of hā, almost always appear before the verb (and any adverbs). In cases where the verb is placed at the beginning of the sentence, the particles most often follow the verb (and any dependent pronouns it may be carrying).

It will also be noticed that the subject of a sentence can be expressed simply by a dependent pronoun, such as –r ‘I (male)’, while in a few cases it is omitted. The nominal subjects in these examples typically feature a postnominal –i, which here
seems to express definiteness. (The verbs are underlined in these examples and the subjects are placed in parentheses to make the structures clearer.)

(a) Tense: recent past, with ko
(i) ǂ’aib ab ko khôa (khoebi) ‘(the man) broke his foot’ (Mhf63)
(ii) hā ko (khoebi) ‘(the man) came’ (Ebt45)
(iii)ǁ‘o ko (ǁxâsi) ‘(the moon) died’ (Mhf54)
(iv)hâ ko (gomaní) ‘(the cattle) came’ (Mhf6)
(v)ǁxau-re ko (uibí) ‘(the bee) stung me (m)’ (Mhf50)
(vi)mũ-(r) ko uib xâbi ‘(I (m)) saw the bee’s sting’ (Mhf1)

(b) Tense: future, with ta
(i)ǁxaba-(r) ta hā ‘(I (m)) will come again’ (Ebt38)
(ii) hâ ta (khoebi) ‘(the man) will come’ (Ebt46)
(iii)ǁxarahe ta (khoebi) ‘(the man) will be punished’ (Mhf22)
(iv)ǁ‘o ta (gûsi) ‘(the ewe) will die’ (Ebt157)
(v)ǂnau-(re) ta ‘[he] will hit (me (m))’ (Mhf58)
(vi)ǁnâ-(ts) ta ‘(you (ms)) will fall’ (Mhf30)

(c) Tense: remote past, with ke
(i) i ke (ǂ’Oabi) thooto[a] lâisâe lom hâ ‘then (Wind) began to blow strongly’ (BKr1)
(ii) i ke (ǀnai khoeb) Mamusaba loa sîhe, then (another man) was sent to Mamusa,

ǂnoaǀxoahe fought-alongside.’ (Bhf1)

(d) Aspect (particles): progressive, with na
(i) (khoebi) na hâ ‘(the man) is coming’ (Ebt43ii)
(ii) (he haib-bi) na ham ‘(this tree) smells’ (Mhf33)
(iii)ǁnâ haibi na lâis ham ‘(that tree) smells nice’ (JM)
(iv)ǁnâti-(r) na mî ‘thus (I (m)) am saying’ (Ebt34)
(v)ǁnâti-(ta) na mî ‘thus (I (f)) am saying’ (Ebt35)
(vi)ǀxobi-(r) na ǂae ‘a pipe (I (m)) am smoking’ (Mhf57)
(vii)hâ na (khoebi) ‘(the man) is coming’ (Ebt43i)
(viii)ǁum na (ǂ’oabi ) ‘(the wind) is blowing’ (Mhf59)
(ix)ǁ‘o na (gûsi) ‘(the ewe) is dying’ (Ebt154)
(x)ǀkx’ân na (ǂ’aebi) ‘(the fire) is smoking’ (Mhf67)
(xi)ǂ‘a na (soreb) ke ‘(the sun) is setting’ (JM)
(xii)mũ-(r) na (ǀkx’anni) ‘(I (m)) see (the smoke)’ (Mhf29)
(e) Aspect (auxiliary verbs): inceptive, with *thoathoa*

(i) *i ke (*ǂ’Oabi*) *thoathoa* |aisase *īom* hã

‘then (Wind) began to blow strongly’

(BKr1)

(ii) *i na ho’o khoa* *thoathoa* (khoedi)

‘and now (the women) begin to dig’

(BK1)

(f) Mood: obligatory, with *nĩ*

(i) ǃkx’axase *i-(b) tje* nĩ *loa*    ‘and (he) must count quickly’

(AB6)

(ii) ǃon ǂxa i-(b) tje nĩ *ta loa*  ‘and (he) must not speak with children’

(AB6)

(iii) hē ǂkx’ōb nĩ ǂū – (arīb tje)  ‘(the dog) will [surely] eat this meat’

(JM)

(iv) ǂkorahe-(b) tje nĩ    ‘(he) must be punished’

(Mhf23)

(g) Mood: potential, with *ka* (or, marker of an interrogative and a purposive clause respectively?)

(i) ǂhamiǃũ-(kao) *ka* na?  ‘might (you (mp)) go hunting?’

(Mhf12)

(ii) kuru *ka* ǂkx’ommi ǂgoab

‘[so that he] might make a clay house’

(PL5)

(h) Mood (auxiliary verbs): abilitive, with *kx’ao*

(i) ǂ’omǂnoagu *kx’ao-(ts) hã?    ‘can (you (ms)) fist-fight?’

(BK10)

4.4.2 Process verbs

We now need to acknowledge that the picture given above is overly simple, and that in reality some verbs make use of a more complex strategy. The verbs in question are particularly those of process and sensation, where the completion of the process leads to a presently obtaining state or outcome. Such verbs of process, which are technically termed *stative* verbs, are assigned a perfect aspect to express a present implication.89

The examples below show various process verbs used in combination with *hã*, where the resulting sense is that the process has run its course. In some cases, it will be seen that a marker of tense may be used as well. The use of *ko*, for example, indicates that the process was completed in the past.

(a) Aspect: perfect, with *hã*

(i) ǂhōasi-(r) ūhã    ‘(l (m)) have got a wildcat’ [ū = ‘take’]

(Ebt147)

(ii) ǂkx’ā (tite) hã  ‘(l (f)) am sated’ [have eaten enough]

(JM)

(iii) ǂ’on tama-(r) hã  ‘(l (m)) don’t know’

(Mhf64)

(iv) hã *ko* hã  ‘[he] came’

(JM)

(v) *mũ-(ti) ko* hã    ‘(l (f)) saw’

(JM)

In Namibian Khoehoe, the marker of perfect aspect *hã* may be used in combination with another stative marker, which frequently also functions as a linking verb (copula) in the case of non-verbal predicates. This marker occurs as *a* when the process or state of affairs is present or ongoing, but as *i* in the context of past or completed processes.90 Within the finite corpus of the Kora texts and example sentences, the use of the copula, either as *a* or *i*, is not greatly in evidence – although there are
occasionally instances where we might suspect a missing *a* that was simply not heard as distinct from a preceding *hâ*, while at other times it is possible that *hâ* has been misheard as *a*. In a footnote to his transcription of a Kora version of one of Aesop’s fables, Beach commented that he often heard *hâ* pronounced by Benjamin Kraalshoek with an oral vowel rather than a nasal one. He also noted that ‘Ben often pronounced *lom hâ* ‘blow’ without the *h*, and with oral *a*, so that it often sounded like *lom-a*.

While the *i* form of the copula appears fairly frequently in association with the perfect aspect in the texts contributed by Piet Links, it is seen only occasionally in the narratives given by other speakers – with the possible exception of some of the stories written down by Benjamin Kats. It seems to occur in the last two lines of the following miniature text, which was a brief remark made by Links to explain the name of the quagga-vygie (*ǃkoireǁgabib* in Lloyd’s spelling):

(b) *ǃkoireǁgabib na tsoatsoaǁkaib !na,*

‘at the time when the quagga-vygie began,’

*i ke na !koireǁgaruǁkâ,*

that is when we went to hunt quagga,

*iǁnaǁkaib !na,*

and at that time,

*kai hâ kkx’ai ku ī,*

their livers having grown fat,

*i subusaǁgaru ī,*

then hunting was easy.’

Apart from the apparent illustration of the *i* form of the copula in use, the example is interesting also for its record of Piet Links’s nasalised pronunciation of this marker, as *ī*. Hagman has suggested that the aspect marker (or copula) *a* or *ā* arises ultimately from *hâ* – and it seems likely that *i* or *ī* may have arisen in turn from the past tense form of *hâ* that occurs in some languages of Kalahari KHOE as *hǐ*. The brief text also gives us an example, in the fourth line, of *kai* being used as a verb of process, in the sense of ‘become big’. The combination of this verb with the perfective marker *hâ* gives a sense more or less equivalent to English past participles such as ‘full-grown’, ‘enlarged’, or ‘swollen’.

Hagman noted in addition that two process verbs (in fact verbs of ‘position’, or ‘posture’), namely *ǃnû* ‘sit’ and *mâ* ‘stand’ undergo certain changes when they are followed by *hâ*. A similar tendency is seen in Kora, where *ǃnôa* or *ǃnoa* (apparently from *ǃnû hâ*) occurs in several of the texts. In these contexts, *ǃnôa*, which behaves almost like a past participle, seems to have the meaning ‘seated’. It can be used in compounds with other verbs, and may take causative and passive extensions, as in *ǃaiǁnoasihe* ‘tie-make be seated’, which is used (AB9) in reference to the action of fastening a bundle of clothing securely in place. The effects in the case of *mâ* ‘stand’ are less visible, although the resulting participle seems to have the meaning ‘set’ or ‘established’. It is reflected in a few possibly idiomatic expressions, such as
‘anmāsisa ‘well-known’ (Bhf2), and mīmāsihe ‘appointed’ (BK1). It is possible that the postposition loa ‘towards’ arises in a similar way from lū hā, where lū means ‘go’.96

### 4.4.3 Non-verbal predications in Kora

Non-verbal predications are sentences which, rather than presenting the subject as a participant in a process or action, instead attribute some property to a subject – where the predicated property may be an identity, a quality, or a spatial location. In other words, the predicate is not a verb, but instead is a nominal or other type of expression, as in ‘That animal is a wildcat,’ or ‘It is afraid,’ or ‘It is under the rock ledge.’ While some languages of the world express the relation between the subject and the property by means of an overt linker (or copula), such as the word ‘be’ in English, this is not essential, and there are many languages that use simple appositions to express the linking of the two terms (especially in cases of identity), while others use linking verbs such as ‘have’ (especially for properties), or verbs of position or posture, such as ‘sit’ or ‘stand’ (especially for spatial location).

#### 4.4.3.1 Predication of identity

In Kora, sentences expressing a straightforward identity (x BE y, where both x and y are nominal expressions), can be expressed in a variety of ways, where the differences can involve word order, the optional use of the ke particle (~ gye, tje, kie), and the use (seemingly) of the copula (or present stative marker) a, which is typically represented as being added to the end of the second noun, and is one of the manifestations of the problematic postnominal –a. (This a is interpreted in the case of the examples below as a copula, but other analyses are possible.)

One of the difficulties presented by the Kora data is that the preferred way of formulating such sentences seems to differ from one speaker to the next, and there is not always an easy way to decide whether these variations reflect subtle distinctions in meaning, or local dialectal differences, or even purely personal preferences on the part of a speaker. Examples of the different formulations are given below:

(a) Pattern with optional ke: Subject (ke) Nominal predicate a

(i) hēb ke kx’ob a  ‘this is the meat’ (BK9)
(ii) hē khoeb ke Gaok’x’ob a  ‘this man is the Chief’ (is kaptein) (Ebt70)
(iii) xamm ke ǀgaib a  ‘the lion is a strong one’ (is strong) (Ebt33)
(iv) sida l’āb ke heb a  ‘this is our land’ (JM)
(v) ǁnā ǂ’ũb ǀonni ǀ’ōku a  ‘that food’s name is ǀ’ōku’ (BK1)

As the examples show, either of the two nominal expressions may be placed first, where the differences in ordering are probably pragmatically motivated. The first expression is definite, either by virtue of a determiner, or the use of the postnominal –i. The second expression (or complement) is either straightforwardly a noun, or may be a nominal expression based on a qualifying expression such as an adjective
or demonstrative in the appositional formulation with a dependent pronoun attached. In sentence (iii), the complement ǀgaib behaves syntactically like a noun, but still reflects its original adjectival meaning ‘strong’, so that the sentence can be read as meaning either ‘the lion is a strong one’ or ‘the lion is strong’.

The last example above shows a case where ke is omitted – and this is perhaps as good a point as any to discuss the nature of the ke particle. We mentioned earlier that there are a few small particles in Kora that present surprisingly large difficulties when it comes to their analysis. Since both the problematic ke particle (~ gye, tje, kie) and the postnominal –a will occur with increasing frequency in our examples from now on, it seems best to pause and briefly address the issues that surround them.

- **The analysis of the ke particle: marker of a sentence type or marker of a topic?**

The ke particle in Namibian Khoekhoe – where it is spelled ‘ge’ – is currently interpreted as a ‘sentence type marker for indicative main sentences’. This analysis essentially follows the proposal by Hagman to treat it as a marker of a ‘declarative’ sentence, and has replaced older analyses that saw ke as a kind of ‘subject determinative’ or ‘emphasiiser’.

When we look at occurrences of ke in Kora, however, it is clear that it can occur in sentences other than declaratives, as in the following examples:

(b) (i) ǁxarahe-b ke nĩ ‘punished he must be’ (Mhf23)
(ii) ǃkx’axase i-b ke nĩ !oa ‘quickly he must count’ (AB6)
(iii)’on ǀxa i-b ke nĩ ta !oa ‘with children he must not speak’ (AB6)

These examples incorporate the modal particle nĩ, which expresses the obligative mood, while at the same time they feature the ke particle after the subject.

It seems that we will need to find an alternative way of accounting for the function of ke – and we would here like to propose that the ke particle, which always immediately follows the subject or its pronominal stand-in, might be better analysed as a discourse marker of topic. (In some languages of the world, a topic is expressed ahead of a sentence, as a way of indicating what the following question or observation will refer to, as in: ‘The wildcat – it’s an indigenous small species.’ There are other languages, however, where a topic is marked by morphology.) This is only a slight change to the idea of the ge-subjektivum originally put forward by Dempwolff, and accords with Rust’s description of the nominal marked by ke as being the ‘prop’ (or perhaps ‘hook’, ‘pivot’ or ‘peg’) of the sentence.

Some support for this analysis is provided by the following example, where the given (previously mentioned) part of the sentence (‘the dog’) is expressed as a kind of afterthought at the end of the sentence, following the expression of the focused phrase at the beginning, and after a pause. Notably, this afterthought is associated with the ke particle:

(c) hē kx’ōb nĩ ǂn’ũ – arib ke ‘this meat [he] will surely eat – the dog’ (JM)
In many cases, though, the *ke* particle simply follows an ordinary subject at the beginning of a sentence, probably because the syntactic subject is in a sense the pragmatic topic by default, even when there has been no re-ordering or pre-presentation of constituents.\(^{103}\)

- **The analysis of post-nominal –a: the marker of accusative case, or a copula, or the vestige of some other morpheme?**

As we have seen, the *a* that occurs in predications of identity has an apparently copular function, in the sense that it couples together two nominal expressions. There are cases, however, where nouns in other syntactic contexts seem to take a superficially similar *a* almost as a suffix – where this particular *a* has been analysed in the past as a possible marker of accusative case (which ordinarily indicates a syntactic *object*).\(^{104}\)

The question has already been raised, however – in the sections on the adpositional phrase and the verb extensions – whether the postnominal –*a* really functions as a case marker. The alternative possibility is that in at least some of these instances, *a* might likewise be a copula.

Here again the picture is complicated by variability in the data from different consultants in the past, and the ever-present possibility of inaccuracies in the transcriptions. The heritage texts present surprisingly few examples of its use, and indeed provide numerous instances where syntactic objects are expressed *without* the supposed marker of case, as well as equally numerous instances where syntactic subjects occur *with* it. The only semi-consistent usage is one we have previously noted, where nouns in adjunctive phrases introduced by certain specific adpositions that arise from verbs sometimes take –*a*. (In some of these instances, the relevant nouns have the peripheral role of a *path* or a *locus*, and it is possible that *a* here is a vestige of the locative morpheme –*ba*.)

Another factor to consider is that speakers sometimes make more complex use of a copula to create sentences similar to English ones of the kind ‘It was an eland the lion stalked.’ When used in answer to the question ‘What did the lion stalk?’ this formulation has the effect of making the object of the lion’s stalking the *focused* constituent. Of course, it is equally possible to focus a subject in this way. For example, the question ‘Who made the necklaces?’ can be answered either with ‘They made the necklaces’ or ‘It was them who made them’ (where only pedants would use ‘they’).

The sentence below, where Andries Bitterbos was explaining the names given to different kinds of wooden vessels, illustrates the use of a similar strategy in Kora:

(d) *i !nubukx'amsaku a ke na 'ǁhoeb' ti ǂai,*
   *i ke na '!ökkx'amsaku a 'ǃxabib' ti ǂai.*

   ‘die nou-bekke het hulle 'ǁhoeb' genoem,
   en hulle het die oop-bekke ‘ǃxabib’ so genoem.’\(^{(AB1)}\)

   \begin{verbatim}
   i !nubu-kx'am-sa-ku a ke na 'ǁhoeb'
   Conn narrow-mouth-Adj-3mp a Rem.Past Prog 'ǁhoeb'
   \end{verbatim}
thus call

And the narrow-mouthed ones they called ‘ǁhoeb’, and the broad-mouthed ones they called ‘ǃxabib’.

If we take a here to be the copula rather than any postnominal marking of case, then the two sentences might be translated as: ‘The narrow-mouthed ones it was they called ǁhoeb, and the broad-mouthed ones it was they called ǃxabib.’

In the remaining cases where we have seen instances of an apparent postnominal –a, it is notable that they occur in association with passive forms of the verb, and are typically associated with arguments having roles such as that of an agent or means. If the a in these instances is not simply a contracted form of the agentive or instrumental postposition xa, it is possible that it is again the copula.

Let us now return to the theme of non-verbal predications. We have already looked at predications of identity, where the predicate is a nominal expression. The next type of non-verbal sentence involves predications of the kind where a subject is said to be possessed of, or to display some quality.

4.4.3.2 Predication of a quality

For Nama, Rust suggested that predications of this kind could be made using the ke particle after the subject, and the linker (which is to say, the copula) a before the descriptive term. He also showed an alternative pattern based on the use of hã after the descriptive predicate, instead of the copula a before it. Yet another formulation has the predicated quality given first, with a dependent pronoun attached to it in expression of the subject (followed by an optional ke), and with either a or hã ending the sentence.

There do not seem to be many instances of such patterns in Kora (although it is possible that this simply reflects accidental gaps in the material). We find instead that, as in pattern (1) below, the predicated quality is typically expressed first, in conjunction with the adjectival suffix –sa, with the subject noun following immediately after it, typically with a postnominal –i. In other words, the attribute is expressed first, and is followed by the noun in what seems to be the definite form.

In Ouma Jacoba’s usage, the subject noun could, as in pattern (2), be followed by ke, in which case, however, it does not have the postnominal i. Lastly, Ouma Jacoba gave us a number of other examples, as in pattern (3), where she expressed the noun first (in the definite form with i) and the quality afterwards, using the copula a after the quality, in a pattern similar to some predications of identity. Examples of these patterns are given below, where subjects are underlined to aid in their identification.
(e) Predication of a quality, pattern (1): Adj Subject -i

(i) ǀgaisa khoebi  ‘the man is strong’   (Ebt5)
(ii) ǀgaisa ǀkaisi  ‘the fever is strong’  (Mhf69)
(iii) ǂnūsa gumasi  ‘the cow is black’  (Ebt24)
(iv) karosa ǀhūbi  ‘the ground is hard’  (Ebt25)
(v)  gaidasa biribi  ‘the goat ram is old’  (Ebt142)
(vi)  gaidasa birisi  ‘the goat ewe is old’  (Ebt143)

(f) Predication of a quality, pattern (2): Adj Subject ke

(i) ǂhabusa kõas ke  ‘the knife is blunt’   (JM)
(ii) ǀkx’oasa xūb ke nǁāba  ‘that thing is full’  (JM)
(iii) thūsa khoeb ke  ‘the man is bad’  (JM)
(iv) ǀł̩̪a khoeb ke  ‘the man is poor’  (JM)
(v)  karosa khōb ke  ‘the skin is hard’  (JM)

(g) Predication of a quality, pattern (3): Subject -i Adj a

(i)  hē khoeb kx’au a  ‘this man is fat’  (JM)
(ii)  nǁā khoebi ǀkx’aro a  ‘that man is thin’  (JM)
(iii)  nǁā bibi ǂhon a  ‘that milk is sweet’  (JM)

It is interesting that pattern (1) above was also used by Engelbrecht’s first consultants in other contexts where the quality predicated can only with some difficulty be interpreted as adjectival. Rather, there is something intrinsically verbal about them, as the sentences below illustrate.

(h) Predication of a completed process with ongoing effects:  V-sa Subject –i

(i)  bēsa gūri  ‘the (sheep) are gone’  (Ebt11)
(ii)  thūsa (ǀnāba)-ri  ‘my (stomach) hurts’  (Ebt7)
(iii)  hōsa (khoebī)  ‘the (man) has come’  (Ebt58)
(iv) ǀkōsa (gūsl)  ‘the (ewe) is dead (has died?)’  (Ebt156)

It seems possible that the function of sa in these cases is that of a perfective aspect marker, which differs from the perfect marker hā in its implication that not only has the process in question completed its course, but that its effects are enduring. Some degree of confirmation for this analysis is provided by the following sentences given to us by Ouma Jacoba.

(v)  lnāsa sores ke  ‘the sun is shining’  (JM)
(vi)  khōasa  ‘it broke’ (‘hy het gebreek’)  (JM)

If sa is indeed the marker of a ‘permansive’ aspect, as the Kora data would seem to suggest, it may also be the source of the adjectival suffix –sa, given that many of the descriptive roots are probably verbal in origin. The marker itself may arise from the verb sā ‘rest a while, sojourn, sit’.
4.5  The Kora sentence, part 2

Up to now we have focused largely on the expression of positive declarative sentences, whether they involve ordinary verbs of action or stative verbs of process, or non-verbal predications. We now need to look at the formulation of negative declaratives, and interrogatives, after which we will turn to the kinds of sentences that express other modalities, such as commands, requests, wishes and speculations. Finally, we will look at aspects of coordination, discourse connectives, and various kinds of clausal embedding.

4.5.1  Negatives

The expression of the negative is fairly complex in Khoekhoe, and ranges from the use of a simple negative morpheme tama or ta, to the use of verbs with inherently negative meanings.

4.5.1.1  Negation by means of tama

The examples below show the use of tama to express the negative form of a straightforward declarative sentence. The negative marker is typically placed after the predicating expression, which is most often a verb, but may be a verb-like descriptive term, as in example (vii), where ĩ means ‘be nice’. (The verbs in the examples are underlined for easier identification.)

(a)  (i) ǂ’an tama-r
    ‘I (m) do not know’ (Mhf64)

(ii)  i ke !Orakua lâb dijxo tama
    ‘and the Korana did not use poison’ (Bhf8)

(iii) ǁguruǀui̺kua i-da ke di tama
    ‘we (c) did not make stone-knives’ (Bhf7)

(iv)  khâs x̂a ke ḳx’aũhe tama
    ‘[they] were not shot with a bow’ (BK3)

(v)  i-da ke l’urikua ūhā tama
    ‘and we (c) did not have iron’ (BK3)

(vi)  koba ti ke na, ma ǁàiibi ilnau tama
    ‘I (f) am talking, but he does not listen’ [ma = Afrikaans maar] (JM)

(vii) ĩ tama ǀoeb ke
    ‘swearing is not nice’ (JM)
4.5.1.2 Negation by means of an auxiliary verb

The negative auxiliary ǁ'oa was briefly noted during the earlier discussion of modality, where it was introduced as a marker of the inabilitive mood, equivalent to English ‘cannot’ or ‘be unable to’. The examples of its use below are both from a narrative dictated by Piet Links, and may have been more characteristic of Giri than Kora. The auxiliary is used after the verb it negates, in much the same way as tama. In both cases, the predications seem to express a hypothetical state of affairs.

(a) (i) i-r tir ti ōa’i hā ǁ'oa hāba?
   ‘why l (m) have been unable to get my child?’  
   (PL3)

(ii) sas múl’a ǁ'oa-s ka hā?
   ‘might you (fs) have been unable to recognise?’  
   (PL3)

4.5.1.3 Negative commands

The negative marker ta seems to be associated with modalities other than the declarative, and is used especially in commands, where it typically occurs at the beginning of the sentence, and before the verb. In some cases it is found as tae (~ tai) or te. The following examples illustrate the rules (gaoku) given to young men attending the initiation school (doro).

(a) (i) Sida !Orada di dorogaoku a:
   Ta !kx’ā!
   Ta ǁae!  
   (BK4)
   ‘The doro-rules of we the Korana are:
   Do not steal!
   Do not lie!’

(ii) Tāe !gaba ǂ’aib xu!
   (Bhf2)
   ‘Do not look at its foot!’ [referring to a lamed animal]

(iii) Tai !xamxu nǁā xūb!
   (JM)
   ‘Do not forget that thing!’

A negative command may also be expressed by adding xu ‘depart from’, which in association with a verb x seems to mean ‘leave off, desist from, abstain from doing x’. The first example below was given to Engelbrecht by one of his early consultants, while the second is from a further set of rules given to young initiates.

(b) (i) ǂNau xu!  ‘Don’t hit!’  
   (Ebt41)

(ii) ǃ’Oas ǂ’ū xu!  ‘Do not eat hare!’  
   (Bhf2.1)

In Namibian Khoekhoe, a negative associated with some hypothetical or speculative future event is negated by means of the auxiliary tide. This form is not found as often (if at all) in Kora, and its only counterpart would seem to be a very occasionally seen te, which is liable to be confused with the dependent pronoun of the 1st person feminine singular.
4.5.1.4 Negation by means of verbs with inherently negative meanings

Certain verbs in the Khoekhoe languages have inherently negative meanings, so that there is no need to use any additional indication of negation with them. The negative auxiliary //'oa mentioned above is one such verb. Another is /'u ‘not know’, as seen in the next example.

(a) /'U-r hâ (hamba xu i-b na hâ) lxaib.

'I don’t know (where he comes from).'

Note that /'u is one of the process (or stative) verbs, so that its use with the perfect marker hâ gives it a present implication. In this case, the thing (or effective object) that is not known is a clause in itself (‘he comes from where’), and lxaib is the complementiser, ‘that’. We will briefly discuss what might be termed phrasal nominals of this kind in a later section.

In some cases, speakers can create a verb with a negative implication by using the negating or privative suffix –o. In the example of this below, the sentence has the form of a non-verbal predication, and the verb hâ'o ‘not come’ behaves like a participial adjective (as in the English phrase ‘the crouching wildcat’).

(b) Hâ'osa khoebi

‘The man is not coming’

4.5.2 Interrogatives

The simplest kind of interrogative is one that asks about the validity of a particular proposition as a whole, and essentially asks, Is it true that [x DO/BE/SEEM y]? The questioned proposition may be negative or affirmative, and the event or process or state of affairs may not only be specified for tense and aspect, but may also reflect one of the modalities associated with states of affairs that do not actually obtain.

Questions of this kind are typically formulated with the predicate in the initial position, as in the following examples given to Meinhof:

(a) (i) Hâ-ts ko llüse? ‘Did you (ms) find the springbuck?’

(ii) Hâmilû-kao ka na? ‘Might you (mp) go hunting?’

The second type of interrogative asks for information about a specific part of a predication. The information requested can involve details about the participants (‘Who did y?’ or, ‘Who’ or ‘What did x do y to?’), or the event itself (‘What did x do?’); or it can involve the specification of an adjunctive detail (‘With what did x do y?’; ‘Where did x do y?’; ‘When did x do y?’ or ‘Why did x do y?’). In semantic terms, the scope of such questions is either the predicating expression, or any of the arguments within either the verb phrase or the adjunct. (The new information given in response to such questions is typically formulated in a way that focuses it.)

Kora seems to have two main base morphemes that are used in the formulation of the constituent interrogatives. The base ta or tae (sometimes heard as da) is used mainly to ask for further information about core participants, but nevertheless
occurs in a few other contexts as well. It is typically used in combination with a
dependent pronoun, and behaves syntactically as a free-standing pronoun. The first
four interrogative expressions listed below are from Meinhof:108

\[
\begin{align*}
taeb? & \quad \text{‘who?’} \\
ta’i? & \quad \text{‘what?’} \\
dan? & \quad \text{‘who?’} \\
dabi? & \quad \text{‘who?’} \\
taeba? & \quad \text{‘why, wherefore?’}
\end{align*}
\]

(In Namibian Khoekhoe, the equivalent expressions are \textit{ta(r)i?} for ‘who?’ and \textit{ta(r)e?} for ‘what?’.) The examples below illustrate some uses of the interrogative forms
in Kora:

\[(b)\]

(i) \textit{ǁNā khoeb taeb na arib mā om te ũ?}  
\quad ‘What does the man give the dog to eat?’ [\textit{om te} is Afrikaans]  

(ii) \textit{Taeb ka i-b kk’ommi areb oe te ħā?}  
\quad ‘Why should my house not have answered?’

(iii) \textit{dan ka?}  
\quad ‘who is it?’

(iv) \textit{taeb ka?}  
\quad ‘what is it?’

It is intriguing to note Ouma Jacoba’s use of \textit{ka (~ga)} in the last two examples
above. Meinhof\textsuperscript{109} recorded a similar use, citing expressions such as \textit{dab gā} ‘who is it
(male)?’ \textit{das gā} ‘who is it (female)?’ and \textit{da’i gā} ‘who (or what) is it?’ It is possible,
on one hand, to treat this morpheme as a separate interrogative particle. Certainly,
Engelbrecht\textsuperscript{110} interpreted certain uses of \textit{ka} in the speech of Andries Bitterbos as
straightforwardly interrogative. On the other hand, this morpheme bears a strong
resemblance to the marker of the potential mood, which we have previously seen
used in sentences with a non-declarative modality, such as speculations or wishes. If
it is the same morpheme, then its function in the interrogative environment appears
to be that of a kind of existential predicator, creating sentences that are almost the
counterpart of old-fashioned English expressions such as ‘who might he be?’

Where the question concerns a peripheral participant, the base \textit{ham} seems to be
frequently used. It is used productively, which may be why not all authors list all of
the potential question words that can occur. The set below may not be exhaustive.

\[
\begin{align*}
ham(b)a? & \quad \text{‘where?’ (what place?)} \\
hāba? & \quad \text{‘why?’ (wherefore?)} \\
hamtsē? & \quad \text{‘when?’ (what time?)} \\
hamti? & \quad \text{‘how?’ (what manner?)} \\
hamti? & \quad \text{‘why?’ (what reason?)} \\
halji? & \quad \text{‘where to?’} \\
hamxī? & \quad \text{‘where to?’} \\
hamxusa? & \quad \text{‘where from?’}
\end{align*}
\]
The interrogative expression meaning ‘where to’ (ham|xi ~ ham|ĩ) incidentally provides one of the few examples of the adposition |xi ‘to’, which otherwise seems to have been more widely used in Giri and Nama than in Kora. The examples below are drawn from the texts:

(c) (i) Hamma-ts ko ko ŋ’ũb hō hā? ‘Where did you (ms) find food?’ (BK9)
(ii) Hamti-ts ka ḫabu hā? ‘How could you (ms) be [so] foolish?’ (PL3)

4.5.3 Commands and polite requests

There are various ways of expressing requests in Kora, where some are politer than others. It is possible to express a direct command (or iussive) simply by using the verb and without any form of second person address, although such direct expressions are not generally considered courteous. The first set below illustrates these more forceful direct commands as they are occasionally expressed in Kora.

(a) (i) ‘Ase lae! ‘Wait a bit!’ (Mhf71)
(ii) Hēba hā! ‘Come here!’ (Mhf32)
(iii) Nāti koba! ‘Say so!’ (JM)
(iv) Hoa ŋ’ũkua xora! ‘Serve all the food!’ (JM)
(v) Nā ŋ’xanis mā-re! ‘Give me that book!’ (ms) (Mhf52)
(vi) Au-te baxaba! ‘Give me tobacco!’ (fs) (JM)
(vii) Khāiba-te! ‘Stand up for me!’ (fs) (BK11)

The more commonly used strategies for issuing a polite exhortation in Kora seem to be based on either a ‘let’, or hā ‘come’, which may also be combined. (The similar particles used in Namibian Khoekhoe are written disjunctively.)

Nama speakers may also make use of a ‘politeness particle’ re in the context of hortatives, but it can be difficult in Kora to decide whether the occasionally seen instances of re are not simply the dependent form of the first person masculine singular pronoun tire. That they are really dependent pronouns seems the more likely case, given the parallel use of other dependent pronouns in some of the examples below.

(b) (i) Hā-sam lūl! ‘Come let us (fd) go!’ (Mhf42)
(ii) Hā-ke lūl! ‘Come let us (mp) go!’ (Mhf43)
(iii) Ti hā-ke [kāl|kāsen]! ‘Thus let us (mp) study!’ (BK7)
(iv) A hā-kham hē khoeb ḫau! ‘Come let us (md) tie up this man!’ (BK10)

4.5.4 Coordination

The various constituents and sub-constituents of the kind discussed above can be expanded in many languages of the world by a chaining together of one or more additional similar elements, where a conjunction may then be used to indicate their coordinate status. The basic coordinating conjunction used for this purpose in Kora
and other Khoekhoe languages is *tsĩ*, which means ‘and’. (It occasionally appears in the texts as *thĩ, thi* or *ti*). In a few cases, as we will see below, the meaning of *tsĩ* is not so much conjunctive as disjunctive, and it is then better translated as ‘or’.

### 4.5.4.1 Coordinated nouns

When two or more nouns are coordinated, the conjunction in Kora is sometimes repeated after each noun, and then occurs in a final repetition with a dependent pronoun expressing a dual or plural. (Where the conjunct nouns are in different genders, the common gender is used.) This pattern with the final resumption seems to occur mainly in the titles and opening lines of the traditional animal fables, however, and is possibly an archaic formulation.

(a) (i) *tūs tsĩ* ǀxurub  ‘rain and drought’ (AB4)
    (ii) *līeb tsĩ* Xoasaob ǀsĩkhora  ‘Jackal and Leopard’ (3md) (BK9)
    (iii) *Eieb tsĩ* Soreǀ’os ǀsĩkha  ‘Jackal and the Sun-girl’ (3cd) (AB12)
    (iv) *Naitab tsĩ* !Oaxaidi ǀsĩna  ‘Baboon and the Quaggas’ (3cp) (Bhf11)

The example below shows the use of coordinated nouns in the context of a complete sentence, where it is clear that the two parties referred to are equal participants in the action:

(b) *līeb tsĩ* Xoasaob ǀsĩkhara ke ǀhamiǃũ  ‘Jackal and Leopard went hunting.’ (BK9)

In a few unusual cases, the comitative postposition ǀxa may be used instead of *tsĩ*, as in the next example:

(c) *ǃnona tsẽku ǀxa ḳonuna thũxuku*  ‘three days plus three nights’ (BK5)

### 4.5.4.2 Coordinated qualifiers

In the example below, where two determiners of number are coordinated, the semantic implication of the coordinator *tsĩ* seems to be disjunctive, since the phrase has to be translated by means of ‘or’:

(a) *Koro tsĩ* lnani tsẽkua na ḳaˈua lnĩ hã khoedi.  
    
    koro  *tsĩ*  lnani tsẽku a na  ḳaˈua lnĩ hã khoedi  
    five  or  six  days  a  Prog  veld  in  stay  women  
    ‘The women stayed in the veld for five or six days.’ (BK1)

The following two examples show instances of coordinated nouns and coordinated qualifiers within an adpositional phrase. In the second example, the sense of the conjunction is once again ‘or’ rather than ‘and’.

(b) (i) *lhommi ti lhūb tikha ḳx’aigu*  ‘between heaven and earth’ (3md) (Mhf68)
    (ii) *i na lam tsĩ ḳonuna gomāku ǀxa*  ‘then with two or three oxen’ (BK1)
4.5.4.3 Coordinated verbs

The conjunction tsĩ may also be used to combine two coordinate verbs, as in the following example, where there is an implied suggestion of sequence, since in real world terms, the second action follows the first. The subject of the two conjunct verbs in such cases is always the same.

(a) Tsēb ta hā o,
   i na |am tsĩ |nona gomāku |xa
   lūbahe tsĩ ūhāhe.

   ‘When the day came,
    then with two or three oxen,
   [the women] were gone for and brought [back home].’

4.5.5 Discourse connectives

We have seen above that several words from the same class can be linked together as coordinate constituents by means of the conjunction tsĩ. This connective is also occasionally used to join entire clauses, although it is more usual in Kora to find this function expressed by i, meaning ‘and (then)’. (This is a little different from the situation in Namibian Khoekhoe, where the conjunctions more commonly used are tsĩ ‘and’ and o ‘if, when, since’.) In the simplest cases where two clauses are combined, the implication is typically sequential or at most consequential – much as in the case of English clauses joined by conjunctions such as ‘and’, ‘or’, ‘but’, ‘when’, ‘if’, or ‘since’. Where the implication of the linkage is more complex, as in the case of a causal or purposive relation, various other connectives are used, with meanings such as ‘because’ or ‘on account of’.

4.5.5.1 Clausal combination with a sequential implication

The Kora discourse connective i, which usually stands at the beginning of a clause, is frequently used in combination with ta, na, ko and ke, which are the particles used to express implications of tense and aspect. If this connective has arisen from the verb ī ‘go, pass by’, as seems likely, then the occurrence of the tense and aspect particles after it would fit the pattern noted previously in connection with fronted verbs. Maingard suggested that the grammatical implications in such frequently used Kora expressions as i na and i ke were somewhat diluted, and that ‘more often than not, the two combinations have no other force than that of resuming the thread of the narrative’.

The clausal connective o (‘if, when’) always occurs at the end of a clause in Kora, after the verb. It is frequently used in combination with i, and has the effect of setting up either a temporal sequence ‘when x then y’ or the condition-consequence sequence ‘if x then y’. Examples of clauses connected by i and o are seen in the extract below, for which the Afrikaans version was provided by Andries Bitterbos.
In line (iii) above, the initial particle i in this case is not the connective i of clausal sequence, but rather is a separate element introduced to support the dependent pronoun –de, which cross-references the subject, !Orakhoede ‘the Korana women’. It bears a close resemblance to the verb ī, which was said by Meinhof to mean ‘seem, be’, but which was in all probability the past tense form of the perfect (or stative) marker, which often functions much like a copula. (Like the latter, it was occasionally written as ĩ by Lloyd, Maingard, and Meinhof.) The example above is additionally interesting for its illustration in lines (i) and (vi) of instances where an object noun (ǀ‘ōb ‘bulb’) is incorporated into verbs (ǂhū ‘gather’ and khao ‘dig’). In cases like these, the noun suffix is typically dropped, while any markers of tense and aspect (such as ke and na) are placed before the complex unit that consists of the verb together with its incorporated object.

Two more examples featuring the connectives i and o are given below:

(b) Tsēb ta hā o,
   i na |am tsī !nona gomāku |xa lūbahe tsī ūhāhe.

‘When the day came,
then with two or three oxen
[the women] were gone for and brought [back home].’

(c) Baxab i-ku ta ūhā tama hā o,
   i ke na lamhe.

‘If they should not have brought tobacco,
Then they were killed [by the San who had asked for tobacco].’
A further connective sometimes used in Namibian Khoekhoe is \textit{xabe}, meaning ‘but’, ‘nevertheless’, ‘although’. There are not many instances of it in the Kora texts, and the word is possibly a loan from Tswana, which has \textit{gabe} with the equivalent meaning.

4.5.5.2 \textit{Clausal combination with causal and purposive implications}

Other combinations of clauses with more complex implications typically require connectives with meanings such as ‘therefore’ or ‘because’. The narratives dictated by Andries Bitterbos contain a few examples of connectives based on the demonstratives \textit{\textparallel nā} and \textit{\textparallel hē}, where these are used to cross-reference previous sentences in a stretch of discourse, where a \textit{causal relation} between the circumstances described by the connected clauses is implied (‘because of that’ or ‘on account of this’). The examples below illustrate this kind of inter-sentential deixis:

(a) \textit{Baxab i-ku ta ūhā tama hā o,}
\textit{i ke na lamhe,}
\textit{\textparallel nāxūba ke na ūnenib \textparallel kubahe.}
\begin{itemize}
\item ‘If they should not have brought tobacco,
\item then they were killed,
\item \textbf{on account of which} the tar residue from their pipes \textit{was scraped out for}
\item [the San].’
\end{itemize}

In the example above, the expression \textit{\textparallel nāxūba} has almost the same meaning as the English ‘wherefore’, which embodies a similar locative element. (Note Namibian Khoekhoe \textit{\textparallel nā-amaga} and \textit{\textparallel nāxa} ‘therefore, because of that’.) The Vocabulary compiled by Wuras includes \textit{\textparallel nāti\textparallel o} for ‘because, on account of’, where the literal meaning seems to be ‘since [it] is thus’ – but the texts do not provide an example of its use.

An example of an expression based on the near demonstrative \textit{\textparallel hē} and \textit{\textparallel ti} ‘thus’ occurs in one of the texts Benjamin Kats wrote down for Engelbrecht, along with his own Afrikaans version.

(b) \textit{l-ku lhamix’aooku ūaib \textparallel āin dib hōl’ā o,}
\textit{i na gaugasen lhamix’aooku,}
\textit{hetihi \textparallel āiku ūan hā}
\textit{Sān !nū tamab \textparallel nāba.}
\begin{itemize}
\item ‘As die jagters die spoore van hulle gewaar
dan steek die jagters vir self weg,
\textbf{wand} [want] hulle weet
\textit{Boesmans es ni veer ni.’}
\end{itemize}
\begin{itemize}
\item ‘If the hunters came across their tracks,
then the hunters hid themselves,
\textbf{because} they \textit{knew [on account of this]}
\textit{the San were not far from there.’}
\end{itemize}
Meinhof mentions a further connective *tuˈi* with the meaning ‘because’, but since it is not found in the texts, its exact role is difficult to ascertain.  

As far as *purposive* clauses are concerned, they are very occasionally expressed by means of a morpheme we have previously encountered as a marker of the potential mood, namely *ka*, as seen in the example below. (In Namibian Khoekhoe, the equivalent morpheme *ga* is described as a ‘purposive clause suffix’, and is written conjunctively with the verb.)

(c) *kuru ka kxˈommi ≠goab*  
‘[so that he] might make a clay house’  

**4.5.6 Phrasal adjectives, phrasal nominals, and phrasal adverbs**

In some cases, an additional clause is not merely added to but is syntactically incorporated into a sentence, so as to function as a phrasal adjective, a phrasal nominal, or a phrasal adverb. As some of the extended examples given earlier have begun to reveal, the Khoekhoe languages are capable of intricate embeddings of this kind. The main kinds encountered in the texts are briefly outlined here.

**4.5.6.1 Phrasal adjectives**

In addition to being qualified by an ordinary determiner or adjective, a noun may be qualified by embedded phrases of a kind that are perhaps best translated into English by relative clauses (as in, ‘the child who loves to sing’). While the Khoekhoe languages do not use overt relativisers similar to English ‘who’ or ‘which’, the embedded nature of these phrasal adjectives is clearly indicated by the use of a copula, an aspect marker, or other means. The examples below show how the verb in the embedded phrase may feature the passive –he, or *hã* as a locative existential predicador, or the adjective-forming –sa (where the adjectival suffix attaches to the last element of the phrase).

(a) *ǀona na !ãukxˈaihe !naob ke na ‘bãs’ ti ŋaihe.*  
‘En alleen-is-deurgaan-op-geword blok is die ‘bãs’ so genoem-geword.’  

(b) *Tāe sausub dao-xu ǃaub !na hã ǀaiba!*  
‘Do not light your pipe from a fire [that] is in the veld!’
4.5.6.2 Phrasal nominals

Just as an ordinary adjective can be turned into a nominal expression by the addition of a suffix (as in ǃgaixab ‘sorcerer’ or kx’aosab ‘man’) so a phrasal adjective of the kind seen in the last example above can be made into a complex nominal expression. The first example below shows the nominalisation of a phrasal adjective, where the nominalising suffix –b is attached to the last element of the phrase.

(a) ǂxõasa jas anasab  (BKr1)  
[ǂxõasa    jas     ana-sa]-b  
[warm   jacket  wear-Adj]-3ms  
‘one wearing a warm jacket’  (lit. ‘warm-jacket-wearing one (m)’)

In the next example, a complex phrasal nominal is used – just as an ordinary nominal expression might be – in apposition to another noun phrase, which in this case is sida ĩb ‘our father’.

(b) i na ǂnabi sida ĩb ǀkx’oase kx’ōb tani-sab.  (Bhf10)  
i      na    ǂnābi   sida   ĩb  
Conn  Prog  there  our  father  
[ǀkx’oa-se   kx’ob   tani-sa   ]-b  
[plenty-Adv  meat  carry-Adj]-3ms  
‘There is our father, carrying plentiful meat’  (lit. ‘plentifully meat carrying one (m)’)

A particularly complex example of syntactic nominalisation is found in the Fable of the Sun and the Wind as retold to Beach by Benjamin Kraalshoek. The example is shown below:

(c) ǂ’Ai khara na ǀx’abeb di,  
daoǃũkx’aosab di jasa ta kx’aise ǂaeǂkx’oaisikasi-b  
ǂnabi ǀaisa kx’aro-b.  (BKr1)  
ǂ’ai-khara    na    ǀx’abeb   di  
they-3md  Prog  plan  make  
[daoǃũkx’aosab  di    jasa    ta    kx’aise   ǂaeǂkx’oasi-kasi]-b  
[traveller  Poss  jacket  Fut  first  take.off-Impel]-3ms  
ǂna-bi     ǀaisa    kx’aro-b  
that-3ms  strong  man-3ms
'The two of them decided, the one [who] should first force the traveller to take off his cloak, he was the stronger man.'
(lit. ‘first the traveller’s jacket will force take off one (m)’)

A phrasal nominal may also be used as the complement of verbs of saying, knowing (or not knowing), discussing, planning, or remembering, just as we might say in English, for example, either ‘The man knows something’ or ‘The man knows that the injured wildcat is out there.’ We saw an example of such a formulation earlier, where the verb /’u ‘not know’ was used in combination with a clausal complement to express ‘the thing that is not known’. The example is repeated below:

(d) /’U-r hā hambo xu i-b na hā !xaib.

 not.know-I (m) Perf [where-Loc from he Prog come] that ‘I don’t know where he comes from.’

Sentences of this kind in Kora make use of a complementiser, which is best translated simply as ‘that’, although it originates from a noun !xaib meaning ‘place’. That the word retained some residual semantic content is suggested by certain formulations in the narratives where the locative morpheme –ba is suffixed to an interrogative morpheme (as it is to ham here), and where that locative compound then appears to cross-reference !xaib. (Despite Meinhof’s occasional spelling of it as !xaeb, it is more usually seen as !kx’aib or !xaib. The equivalent complementiser in Namibian Khoekhoe is feminine in gender. There are a few instances in the texts contributed by Piet Links where the complementiser !xai is used with the suffix –i of the common gender.) The following sentence provides a further example:

(e) i ke ™’Oabi ™’an Sores bā-bi hā !xaib.

 Conn Rem.Past wind know [sun defeat-him Perf] that ‘Then Wind knew that Sun had defeated him.’

4.5.6.3 Phrasal adverbs

There are various ways of formulating a complex adverbial expression in Kora. In the case of locative phrasal adverbs, these make use of the locative particle ba ‘where’. The locative –pa of Namibian Khoekhoe varieties is written conjunctively (as is the purposive –ga), but we have chosen here to write the locative ba separately so as to distinguish it from instances of the dependent masculine singular pronoun, which in Kora sometimes occurs as –ba.

(a) I hamba ib ta soreb ™’ā ba i ke na ™’om.

 where-Loc [it Fut sun enter Loc]
A further and perhaps marginal type of phrasal adverb in Kora seems to make use of an adverbialising particle \( \textit{xa} \) (occasionally spelled \( \textit{ka} \)). It adds an implication somewhat similar to the English adverbial suffix ‘–ly’, and in some cases is more appropriately translated by a phrase similar to such English forms as ‘laughingly’. It may have been the source of the idiomatic adverbial expression \( \textit{ǁoakaka} \) ‘early in the morning’, which Ouma Jacoba gave to us as \( \textit{ǁoxaka} \). It is probably also seen in the name \( \textit{ǃUrikamâ khoena} \), which meant Hoogstanders or High-standing people. One of the reasons these phrases are difficult to pin down with certainty is that in some cases, the \( \textit{xa} \) that follows the verb is open to interpretation as merely a type of venitive verbal extension that may have arisen from the same root as \( \textit{hā} \) ‘come’. The examples below reflect this ambiguity:

(b) \( i \textit{ke} \textit{ǁna} \textit{tsē abas} \textit{ǃna} \textit{ǃna xoehā xa} \textit{ke}, \textit{ǁnāǀxahe} \). (BK5)

\[ i \textit{ke} \textit{ǁnā} \textit{tsē abas} \textit{ǃna} \textit{ǃna xoehā xa} \]

Conn Rem.Past that day snow in [[rush-race-come]-Adv] ?

\[ hāb xa \textit{ǁnāǀxa-he} \]

horse from fall-with-Pass

‘And [as he] came hurryingly racing through the snow that day, [he] was thrown from his horse.’

(c) \( \textit{ǃnãb ǃna} \textit{ǂ’oa xa} \). (Bhf14)

\[ \textit{ǃnãb ǃna} \textit{ǂ’oa xa} \]

let-us light in Prog emerge-Venitive [?] / Adv

\[ \textit{ǃhaos ǃhaos a} \]

nation nation Cop

‘Let us emerging into the light be a nation among nations.’

(d) \( \textit{ǁNa ǁkx’aeb} \textit{ǃna} \textit{dao!ũkx’aosab} \textit{ǀũloxa} \).

\[ \textit{ǀũloxa} \]

∥||\( \textit{xāsa jas anasab} \). (BKr1)

∥\|\textit{na}∥\textit{ǁkx’aeb}∥\textit{ǃna}∥\textit{dao!ũkx’aosab}∥\textit{ǀũloxa}∥

that time in traveller-3ms go.towards-Venitive [?]

∥\|\textit{xāsa}∥\textit{jas anasa-b}∥

warm jacket wearing-3ms

‘At that moment, a traveller wearing a warm jacket came travelling towards [them].’

In other instances, \( \textit{ka} \) in its role as an adverbialiser may have almost the implication of an existential predicator. An example can be seen in the Kora version of the Lord’s Prayer as recorded by Campbell in 1813, which has been shown before but is given here again.\[116\]
The first line of this prayer may be re-written as follows:

(e) *Sida Ĭb |hommi !na kab*

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sida} & \quad \text{î-b} & \quad \text{[[|hommi |na ] ka ]-b} \\
\text{our} & \quad \text{father-3ms} & \quad \text{[[heaven in ] Adv]-3ms} \\
\text{‘Our heavenly-dwelling Father’}
\end{align*}
\]

Here *ka* seems to function partly as an existential predicator and partly as an adverbialiser as it creates a participial phrase with the literal meaning ‘heaven-in-being’. The singular masculine suffix –*b* turns the entire phrase into a nominal expression, which is used in apposition to *îb* ‘Father’.

It is likely that there are still many more syntactic strategies that were used to embed phrases and clauses in rather subtle and complex ways, and we hope that a new generation of linguists will be inspired to undertake further investigations of Kora syntax – particularly since a closer understanding of the syntax should enable us in future to arrive at more nuanced translations of the heritage texts. (In some cases, the translations that have been handed down to us seem to assume and project only a simple linear sequencing of clauses.)

### 4.6 Miscellaneous

This final section touches on a few last things it has not been been possible to include in any of the sections above, such as ideophones and idiomatic expressions.

Although there are none specifically recorded, it is likely that Kora would have had a set of ideophones, given that they occur not only in other Khoekhoe languages,
but also widely throughout almost all African languages. The term ideophone was coined in the early 1930s by Clement Doke\textsuperscript{117} to describe a class of words used as lexically specific intensifiers, rather like such English set expressions as ‘rose red’ or ‘sky blue’. They are used by skillful story-tellers as vehicles for expressive intonation, so that they may feature multiple reduplications, unusual stress patterns, and unusual phonation, but they are not in themselves onomatopoeic, and are typically derived by regular processes (at least in BANTU languages) from other words. The following may have been unrecognised examples in Kora:

\begin{quote}
\textit{gõgõ (~ gũgũ)} describes roaring of water \hfill (Ebt1928) \\
dubudubu describes gurgling of water \hfill (Mhf) \\
lala describes banging \hfill (AB6)
\end{quote}

Ideophones are often introduced by a special quotative (which in Namibian Khoekhoe is \textit{timî} ‘thus say’) but they may also be used more directly as appositions to the words they enhance.

Several expressions that recur in the texts are perhaps idiomatic formulations. They include expressions such as the following that describe emotional states, and which all incorporate \textit{ťaob} ‘heart’ (with a variant spelled \textit{tgao}, which is possibly an error):\textsuperscript{118}

\begin{quote}
\textit{ǃgãi(x)aǃgao} ‘be happy, with cheerful heart’ \hfill (Ebt1928) \\
\textit{ǁxōǃaokx’ai} ‘be angry’ \hfill (Mhf) \\
\textit{thũǃaokx’ai} ‘be angry, sore, resentful, with hurting heart’ \hfill (Mhf)
\end{quote}

Another recurring expression, which makes use of \textit{ǁxā} ‘the same’, has the literal meaning ‘be the same person’, and probably meant something along the lines of ‘be restored to one’s old self’, as in the examples below:

\begin{quote}
(a) (i) \textit{i na kx’oa i-ts ta ǁxā khoeb ťao a} \\
\hphantom{(i) }‘if you want to be your same old self’ \hfill (Bhf10) \\
(ii) \textit{i-r na a ǁxā khoēs ǁxā} \\
\hphantom{(ii) }‘I am my usual self again’ \hfill (BK9)
\end{quote}

In some cases, a few isolated words contain morphemes that must at one time have been productive, but which now seem to have become fossilised. Mention has already been made of the diminutive –\textit{ro} (~ \textit{ru, ri}) and the obscure –\textit{be}, which both appear in the names of various plants and animals. There are also instances of the postural verb \textit{mã} ‘stand’, which shows up in some of the old Korana names for clans and months, where it may have functioned at one time as either a marker of aspect or a locative existential predicator. Examples suggestive of this are shown below:

\begin{quote}
\textit{ǁ’Are(ka)mãǁ’ais} ‘Links or Left-standing clan’ \\
\textit{Kx’aisemaǁhareǁxām} ‘November’ (? < \textit{kx’aise} ‘first’) \hfill (Wrs) \\
\textit{ǃAusemaǁhareǁxām} ‘December’ \hfill (Wrs) \\
\textit{ǃAusemãǁxāb} ‘December’ (? < \textit{lause} ‘last’) \hfill (Mhf)
\end{quote}
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ǃUrīsēmāb  ‘highlander’ (bostaander, sometimestaken as ‘proud person’)
ǃnakamāgaokx’aoab  ‘chief’s advisor or deputy’ (standing below the chief)
ǃ’aukamāsi  ‘put something outside’ (make stand outside)
ǀonamãkx’amǁab  ‘separate entrance’ (alone-standing door)

Our consultant Ouma Jacoba provided us quite by chance with an unusual instance of an emphatic negative particle tẽ, which she used at the end of a sentence, as follows:

(b) Ėnika ti ka hā, tẽ ‘I will never come, ever’ (ek sal nooit kom nie)  (JM)

While the particle used by Ouma Jacoba has a decidedly nasalised vowel, the word may nevertheless be an affine of the Khwe emphatic particle tè, which seems to have a similar function.119

Various set or semi-formulaic expressions are used in Kora to indicate the introduction of directly quoted speech. These quotative expressions are almost all based on combinations of ti ‘thus’ and mĩ ‘say’, and sometimes additionally include the demonstrative hē ‘this’, as in the following example:

(c) ǀXās ke ke hē ti mǐ,  
”Khoen ke nī ti-r na ǁō khama, ǁō.”  
I ke Ŭas ti mǐ, “ǁō tsẽ nī ǁōǃũ.”  (PL1)

‘Moon said this:  
“People must, just as I die only seemingly, die.”  
But Hare said: “[They] must die and die forever [lit. die-go]”

The words for ‘yes’ and ‘no’ as used in direct speech are shown below:
ā  ‘yes’
hā’ā  ‘no’

Lastly, the following everyday expressions for greetings and exchanges were obtained by Maingard120 from some elderly members of the Right-Hand clan (Kx’amǁõakua), who were living in Bloemfontein when he met them, but who came originally from the settlement based on the farms around Wuras’s old mission station at Bethany.

(d) (i)  Hamti-ts sāu i a?  ‘How are you (ms)?’ (Note Nama mâtits tsâ?)
(ii)  Hamti-ts khāi hā?  ‘How are you (ms)?’ (lit. ‘How do you wake [rise, stand up]?’)
(iii)  Hamti-ts fara?  ‘How do you (ms) fare?’ (with Afrikaans loanward vaar)
(iv)  Ŭsa lũ.  ‘Go well, goodbye.’
(v)  ĖXaba mũgu.  ‘[Till we] see each other again.’
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The text has *hubukx’am, where *hubu is obscure.

Since the original term for ‘ten’ may have been *gisi, it is worth noting the Ndebele word *isigidi, which means ‘a great number’ and is also used to express ‘a thousand’.

This slightly bizarre phrase occurs in the context of a folktales.

Orthography, 64.

A similar strategy is used in English, where prepositions are used in combination with periphrastic locative expressions, as in ‘at the foot of the hill’ or ‘in the heart of the city’.

Mhf, 55–56.


Mhf, 38.

In a recent study of the Nilo-Saharan language Kipsigis, John Bii, Mary Lonyangapuo, and Andrew Chelimo suggest that the effective function of a verb extension such as the benefactive is not merely to increase the number of arguments linked to the verb, but also ‘brings a peripheral participant onto center stage by making it a direct object’ (“Verbal extensions in Kipsigis” *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science* 4, no. 9, 1 (2014), 304).

This is sometimes referred to as the ‘valency’ of a verb.

The vowel in –sen is unstressed and may be hardly heard even as [ə]. It is occasionally seen in the older texts as ‘–sn’.

Hagman, *Nama Grammar*, 73.

Mhf, 48.


Although this word *jūdi* for ‘springboks’ seems unusual (compare *jũdi*), a similar form was also obtained by Engelbrecht from one of his first consultants.

Two other verb extensions reconstructed by Vossen for the Kalahari branch of KHOE are *-ǃʔo, with a ‘directive-locative’ implication, and *-xu, which he terms a ‘terminative-itive’. Provided that *-ǃʔo can be matched with loa – which is perhaps questionable – then it is intriguing that the equivalent items in Kora and Nama (loa and xu) seem to occur only as postpositions. Another extension, which Vossen was able to reconstruct only for Western Kalahari Khoe is *-kxʔao, which he describes as an ‘inclinative’. Kora has an auxiliary verb kx’ao, which expresses capacity or volition.

Mhf, 38.

Orthography, 52.

From a comparative point of view, it is interesting to note that in related languages of the Kalahari branch of KHOE, there is frequently linking morphology between the two verbs in such formulations. This is perhaps not as common in Naro, but where it occurs, the linker takes the form –a-. An example from Naro (Hessel Visser, *Naro Dictionary* (Gantsi, Botswana: Naro Language Project, 2001), 3) is bóò-a-l’ãa ‘recognise, understand’, from bóò ‘see’ and l’ãa ‘hear’ (compare Kora mũ and !ã).


The terms used for the categories are based on those suggested by F. R. Palmer, *Mood and Modality* (Cambridge: CUP, 2001). This section has also been informed by the work of Bernard Comrie, *Aspect* (Cambridge: CUP, 1976).

While *thoathoa* seems to have an auxiliary function, there is room for debate concerning its syntactic status as a periphrastic marker of aspect and its possible analysis as part of a separate clause. It is intriguing that both the completive *toa* (used in Nama) and the inceptive *thoathoa* (used in Kora) are almost certainly loanwords from Sotho-Tswana sources, where recognisable counterparts occur among the ‘deficient verbs’ of the highly complex multi-verb tenses. While it is generally acknowledged that morphology of almost any category may be susceptible to borrowing, it is nevertheless relatively unusual to find grammatical elements that are loanwords. It is even more striking that equivalent words are used with similar grammatical implications in languages belonging to the JU and TUU families.

Maingard notes that some of these combinations in Kora are semantically reduced, to the point that *ikie* and *ina* have little meaning other than ‘and then’, *Korana Folktales*, 25.

This sentence was translated by Meinhof as ‘wollt ihr Männer jagen gehen?’

Statives are of course widely found in other languages of the world, including languages of the BANTU family.


The sense is, ‘when the quagga-vygies started flowering’. Note that ‘ǁkaib’ is Lloyd’s spelling of ‘ǁk’aeb ‘time’.

Hagman, *Nama Grammar*, 84.


If *loa* is not in itself a postposition but rather a type of adverbial expression with the implication ‘gone’ or ‘having gone’, then its use in combination with various additional locative morphemes might be explained. See for example, *i tjeǁna tšē luǃaub xa !oa !hami!ũ*, ‘and on that day he went *going to the veld* to hunt’, from BK15.


Hagman, *Nama Grammar*.

99 That *ke* could be translated on a literal level as a verb meaning ‘be’ was suggested by Wallmann (*Formenlehre*, 33) in his early grammar of Nama, and Meinhof seems to have been initially of a similar mind, noting (*Lehrbuch der Nama-Sprache*, 53) that the present tense in Nama could be ‘strengthened’ by means of the ‘auxiliary verb’ *gye*. Subsequently, though, the idea that *ke* was not a linker after all, but rather played some part in indicating or *emphasising the subject* of the Nama sentence was proposed by Dempwolff (*Einführung*) and the theory that *ke* was a ‘subject determinative’ was more or less accepted by Meinhof (Mhf, 50) by the time he came to write his short grammatical account of Kora. Rust, writing much later about Nama, likewise followed Dempwolff, and
retained the notion of the ‘ge-subjektivum’, commenting (Praktische Namagrammatik, 57) that the nouns marked by ke were the ones that gave a sentence its ‘hook’.

Hagman was perhaps able to sustain his analysis that ke is a marker of the declarative sentence type because he did not acknowledge the existence of modals in Nama (Dama), but treated both the potential and the obligatory as instances of tense (Nama Grammar, 83).

The fact that it seems to function as a type of ‘predicating linker’ (copula) in certain contexts may be an indicator of its origins.

The word used by Rust (Praktische Namagrammatik, 57) is Stütze.

Some linguists define a topic purely in syntactic terms (even though the concept is rooted in pragmatics), and may speak about a ‘left dislocated’ constituent. This kind of terminology is not only based on written text, but on the writing conventions of only some societies! The notion that a topic is expressed by a constituent presented ahead of a clause is equally culture-specific.

It can be argued that case in fact marks semantic (or ‘thematic’) roles, rather than syntactic relations.

Haacke (“Syntactic focus marking in Khoekhoe”) provides a broadly similar analysis of this focusing strategy, which he terms ‘inversion’, but he retains the identification of ke as a marker of ‘indicative sentence type’, and of a as a marker of ‘accusative case’.

Rust, Praktische Namagrammatik, 57.

Some linguists analyse interrogatives (in general) as constituting a modality in their own right.

Mhf, 46.

Jan A. Engelbrecht, The Korana (Cape Town: Maskew Miller, 1936), 211.

In technical terms, this is known as bisyndetic coordination.

Maingard, Korana Folktales, 25.

Mhf, 61.

Orthography, 50.


Clement M. Doke, Outline grammar of Bantu (Grahamstown: Rhodes University, Department of African Languages, 1982), v.

A much more extensive range of similar expressions occurs in Sotho-Tswana languages, where they are built on the base word pelo ‘heart’, and in Venda and Shona, which use the base moyo ‘heart’.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tho</th>
<th>striped shirt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tgamin</td>
<td>checked, mottled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammi</td>
<td>water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taif</td>
<td>fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haif</td>
<td>tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taif</td>
<td>stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammi</td>
<td>hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karos</td>
<td>the earth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>